

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Miscellaneous Jurisdiction Case No.3418 of 2017

In
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.13106 of 2016

=====

Ram Shankar Prasad Singh S/o Late Ayodhya Singh Resident of Naya Gola,
Mokama, P.S. Mokama, District – Patna. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State Of Bihar Through Amir Subhani, son of not known to petitioner Home Secretary, Govt. of Bihar.
2. Sanjay Kumar Agrawal son of not known to petitioner District Magistrate, Patna.
3. Manoj Kumar son of not known to petitioner District Arms Magistrate, Patna.
4. Pankaj Kumar son of not known to petitioner Additional District Magistrate Arms, Patna.
5. Manu Maharaj, Sr. Superintendent of Police, Patna.

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. V. N. Pandey, Advocate.

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Suman Kumar Jha, AC to AAG-3.

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE HARISH KUMAR
ORAL JUDGMENT

Date : 24-08-2023

Heard Mr. V. N. Pandey, learned counsel for the petitioner and Mr. Suman Kumar Jha, learned AC to AAG-3 for the State.

2. A show cause has been filed on behalf of the opposite party no.2 and with reference to the averments made therein submissions has been made that in compliance of the order of this Court dated 28.11.2016 passed in CWJC No.13106 of 2016, the application of the petitioner has been considered afresh and a reasoned and speaking order has been passed negating his claim of Arms License. The aforementioned order is part of the show cause as contained in Annexure-E.



3. Learned counsel for the petitioner tried his best to convince the Court that there is no consideration of the police report submitted by the Mokama Police Station. He further submits that the petitioner was attacked by the criminals and for which Shastrinagar P.S. Case No. 181 of 2013, came to be registered for the offence under Section 452, 307 of the Indian Penal Code and Section 25(1-B)a of the Arms Act, but this aspect has not been taken note of by the District Magistrate, Patna, while rejecting the claim of the petitioner.

4. Be that as it may, as in compliance of the order of this Court a reasoned and speaking order has been passed, the present contempt application stands disposed of with a liberty to the petitioner that if the petitioner has any grievance, he can assail the order of the District Magistrate, Patna in an appropriate proceeding before the appropriate authority/Court.

5. Accordingly the present contempt application stands disposed of with the aforesaid liberty.

(Harish Kumar, J)

manoj/-

AFR/NAFR	NAFR
CAV DATE	NA
Uploading Date	28.08.2023.
Transmission Date	NA

