

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.72201 of 2022

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-105 Year-2022 Thana- KHAIRA District- Jamui

RAMANAND PANDEY @ ARYAN PANDEY Son of Sanjay Pandey
Resident of Village - Khaira, P.S.- Khaira, District - Jamui.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Ranjeet Patel, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Jagdhar Prasad, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJEEV RANJAN PRASAD
ORAL ORDER

2 15-05-2023 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
APP for the State.

The petitioner, in the present case, is seeking pre-arrest bail in connection with Khaira P.S. Case No. 105 of 2022 registered for the offences punishable under Sections 395 of the Indian Penal Code. He has two criminal antecedents as stated in paragraph '3' of the application.

As per the prosecution story, it is alleged that while the informant along with his cousin went to State Bank of India to withdraw Rs. 2,75,000/- and returning to CSP Centre after withdrawing of the said amount, two motorcycles rider chased him, stopped them and snatched the bag containing money, death certificate, aadhar card, bank pass-book etc. and fled away.



Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner has been falsely implicated in this case. Learned counsel submits that the name of the petitioner has come only on the basis of confessional statement of co-accused Suman Kumar Pandey.

Learned A.P.P. for the State has opposed the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner.

Having regard to the facts and circumstances of the case wherein the name of this petitioner has transpired in the statement of the apprehended accused who had allegedly committed the loot of money from the informant, the petitioner has got two criminal antecedents and it is not stated whether he is on bail in those cases or not, under these circumstances, this Court is not inclined to grant privilege of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

Prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner is, thus, refused.

This application is dismissed.

(Rajeev Ranjan Prasad, J)

Rajeev/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

