

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.71609 of 2023

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-335 Year-2023 Thana- SAHARSA SADAR District- Saharsa

RAJU KUMAR son of Ranjeet Yadav Village- Simraha Baganpatti W.No-35,
Ps- Saharsa Dist- Saharsa

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar.

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Pramod Mishra, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Meena Singh, A.P.P.

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE CHANDRA PRAKASH
SINGH**

ORAL ORDER

2 23-11-2023 Learned counsel for the petitioner is permitted to remove the defect(s), as pointed out by the office, if any, within a period of three weeks from today.

2. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned Additional Public Prosecutor for the State.

3. The petitioner is apprehending his arrest in connection with Saharsa P.S Case No. 335 of 2023 dated 31.05.2023 for the offences punishable u/ss 30(a) and 41 of the Bihar Prohibition and Excise Act.

4. As per the prosecution case, total 27 litres of illicit foreign liquor was recovered from E-Rickshaw.

5. Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the petitioner has falsely been implicated in this case. The



said E-Rickshaw was not being driven by the petitioner at the time of alleged occurrence. The petitioner is not the owner of the said E-Rickshaw. The petitioner has no concern with the alleged recovery. The name of the petitioner surfaced on the disclose of the apprehended co-accused Bholu Kumar, who was arrested on the sopt. The petitioner has no criminal antecedent as stated at para 3 of the bail petition. No incriminating article has been recovered from the conscious possession of the petitioner, hence no case is made out. Learned Counsel has relied on the judgment of Full Bench of Hon'ble Patna High Court in the case of **Ram Vinay Yadav vs. State of Bihar reported in 2019 (2) PLJR 1089**. The Full Bench in the case of **Ram Vinay Yadav (supra)** has held that an application for anticipatory bail in a case arising out of Bihar Excise and Prohibition Act can be maintained, despite the bar under Section 76 (2) of the Act, if on the basis of allegation made in the FIR, no offence under the said provision is made out.

6. Learned A.P.P. for the State has vehemently opposed the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner by submitting that the bar of Section 76(2) of the Act applies in this case.

7. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances



of the case as well as the nature of allegation against the petitioner, let the above named petitioner, in the event of his arrest/surrender within a period of six weeks from today, be enlarged on anticipatory bail on furnishing bail-bond of Rs. 20,000/- (Rupees twenty thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned court concerned, Saharsa in connection with Saharsa P.S. Case No. 335 of 2023, subject to conditions as laid down under section 438(2) of the Code of Criminal Procedure.

(Chandra Prakash Singh, J)

Nilmani/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

