

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.70449 of 2023

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-12 Year-2023 Thana- JHANJHARPUR District- Madhubani

VISHAL KUMAR SINGH S/O RAJ KUMAR SINGH R/O VILLAGE-
KISNIPATTI, P.S- PHULPARAS, DISTT.- MADHUBANI.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

THE STATE OF BIHAR

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Jitendra Kumar Bharti, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mrs. Asha Devi, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH KUMAR VERMA
ORAL ORDER

2 01-11-2023 Heard Mr. Jitendra Kumar Bharti, learned counsel

for the petitioner and Mrs. Asha Devi, learned Additional Public

Prosecutor for the State.

2. The petitioner is apprehending his arrest in connection with Jhanjharpur (ASOP) P.S. Case No. 12 of 2023 corresponding to G.R. No. 58 of 2023 F.I.R. dated 24.01.2023 registered for the offences punishable under Sections 272, 273 of the Indian Penal Code and Section 30(a) of Bihar Prohibition and Excise Act, 2016.

3. Recovery is of 16.500 litres of foreign liquor.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has clean antecedent and has falsely been implicated in the present case on the basis of disclosure made by co-accused person namely Ankaj Singh @ Pankaj Singh. He



further submits that from perusal of the F.I.R. as well as seizure list it appears that nothing has been recovered from the conscious possession of the petitioner rather recovery has been made from the vehicle in question and petitioner has no concern at all with the alleged recovery of illicit liquor or the vehicle in question. He further submits that except the disclosure made by co-accused person, no other material has come during investigation to suggest the involvement of the petitioner in the present occurrence. There is non-compliance with mandatory procedure prescribed for recovery under Section 100 of Cr. P.C. No case, whatsoever, would be made out against the petitioner under the Bihar Prohibition and Excise Act.

5. The learned Additional Public Prosecutor has vehemently opposed the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner referring the provision contained in Section 76(2) of the Bihar Prohibition and Excise Act and submitted that the pre-arrest bail would not be maintainable.

6. This court is aware of the decision of the Full Bench in the case of Ram Vinay Yadav vs. State of Bihar reported in 2019(2) P.L.J.R. 1089. Having regard to the law laid down in the aforesaid judgment and the submission advanced on behalf of the parties, this Court, for the limited purpose of grant



of anticipatory bail, is inclined to accept the submission of counsel for the petitioner.

7. Considering the aforesaid facts, nothing has been recovered from conscious possession of the petitioner and the petitioner having clean antecedent, let the petitioner, above named, in the event of his arrest or surrender before the court below within a period of thirty days from the date of receipt of the order. be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned Special Judge Excise Act, Jhanjharpur, District- Madhubani in connection with Jhanjharpur (ASOP) PS. Case No. 12 of 2023, corresponding to G.R. No. 58 of 2023, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 438(2) of the Code of Criminal Procedure and with other following conditions:-

1. Petitioner shall co-operate in the trial and shall be properly represented on each and every date fixed by the court and shall remain physically present as directed by the court and on his absence on two consecutive dates without sufficient reason, his bail bond shall be cancelled by the Court below.

2. If the petitioner tampers with the evidence or the witnesses, in that case, the prosecution will be at liberty to move



for cancellation of bail.

3. And further condition that the court below shall verify the criminal antecedent of the petitioner and in case at any stage it is found that the petitioner has concealed his criminal antecedent, the court below shall take step for cancellation of bail bond of the petitioner. However, the acceptance of bail bonds in terms of the above-mentioned order shall not be delayed for purpose of or in the name of verification.

(Rajesh Kumar Verma, J)

Ibrar//-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

