

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.70774 of 2023

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-423 Year-2023 Thana- RAMPUR District- Gaya

Ajit Pandey son of Shri Bal Krishna Pandey Mohalla- New Budha Colony
Road No-3, Ps- Chandauti Dist- Gaya

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s :	Mr.Jitendra Prasad Singh, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s :	Mr.Madhura Nand Jha, APP
	Mr. Sanjeev Kumar, Advocate

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE DR. ANSHUMAN
ORAL ORDER

2 09-11-2023 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
A.P.P. for the State.

2. The petitioner seeks regular bail in connection with
Rampur P.S. Case No.423/2023, lodged on 25.06.2023 under
Sections 420, 406, 467, 468,471,120B of the Indian Penal Code.

3. As per the prosecution case, it has been alleged in
the FIR that the informant has invested total 56,40,000/- through
the petitioner in the bank account of co-accused, Anu Pandey @
Anu Kumari who alleged to run a company. It has been alleged
that the petitioner along with other co-accused had convenience
the informant due to which they have started investment.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the
petitioner is innocent and has committed no offence. From the
content of the FIR, it is very much clear that the informant is the



friend of co-accused, Anuj Pandey @ Anu Kumari in whose bank account, the alleged money has been transferred and at the instance of the informant, the said money has been transferred through various persons in the bank account of the co-accused. Counsel further submits that the present FIR has been lodged against him due to the reason that he is brother of the co-accused. The petitioner is in no way related with the bank account or with the business of his sister. The petitioner has annexed the documents by which it also transpire that the entire operation or transaction used to be done by the other co-accused. He further submits that the antecedent of the petitioner is clean and he is in custody since 23.07.2023.

5. Learned APP for the State opposes the prayer for bail of the petitioner.

6. Learned counsel for the informant opposes the prayer for bail of the petitioner and submits that the role of the present petitioner may not be ruled out as the sister of the informant disclosed that the entire company was run byu his brother.

7. In the present facts and circumstances of this case and the submissions made above, let the petitioner above named, be released on bail, **but only after framing of charge,**



on furnishing bail bonds of Rs.30,000/- (Rupees Thirty thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned ACJM-IV, Gaya, in connection with Rampur P.S. Case No.423/2023, subject to the conditions as laid down U/s 437(3) Cr.P.C

(Dr. Anshuman, J)

Ashwini/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

