

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.17196 of 2022

Chandeshwar Nath Chaubey Son of Late Baidyanath Choubey Resident of Village-Pyarepur, P.S.-Thawuy, District-Gopalganj, Presently Posted as Principal of A.K.S. Inter College, Puraina, Paltu Hatta, Siwan

... .. **Petitioner**

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Principal Secretary Department of Education, Government of Bihar, New Secretariat, Patna.
2. The Principal Secretary Department of Education, Government of Bihar, New Secretariat, Patna.
3. The Director Secondary Education, Education Department, Government of Bihar, Patna.
4. The Regional Deputy Director of Education, Saran Division at Chhapra.
5. The District Magistrate, Siwan.
6. The District Education Officer, Siwan.
7. The District Programme Officer Secondary Education Branch, Siwan.
8. The Bihar School Examination Board, through its Chairman, Budha Marg, Patna.
9. The Chairman Bihar School Examination Board, Budha Marg, Patna
10. The Secretary, Bihar School Examination Board, Budha Marg, Patna -800001.
11. The Director (Academic) Bihar School Examination Board, Budha Marg, Patna
12. The Branch Manager, Uttar Bihar Kshetriya Gramin Bank, Paltu Halt Siwan.
13. Sri Srikant Singh, Ex-Principal Narayan College, Goriyakothi, Siwan.
14. Chairman of Governing Body/ Managing Committee A.K.S. Inter College, Puraina Paltu Hata Siwan (42014)

... .. **Respondents**

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr.Sanjay Kumar, Advocate
For the Respondent/s	:	Mr.Satyabir Bharti, Advocate
		Ms.Kanupriya, Advocate
		Ms.Sushmita Sharma, Advocate
		Mr.Abhishek Anand, Advocate
For the Intervener	:	Mr.Sanjay Kumar, Advocate
		Mr.Utsav akash, Advocate
For the Resp.No.14&15	:	Mr.Jitendra Kumar Sinha, Advocate

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJEEV RANJAN PRASAD
ORAL ORDER

3 05-09-2023 Although the writ application has been listed under



the heading ‘For Orders on Petition’, but at the outset, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has, at this stage, retired as Principal of the concerned college, hence nothing remains for adjudication in the present writ application.

2. It appears that earlier this Court had vide interim order dated 20.12.2022 stayed the operation of the impugned order dated 07.11.2012 and thereafter the petitioner continues.

3. Learned counsel for the respondents are present.

4. As prayed by learned counsel for the petitioner, this writ application is permitted to be withdrawn.

5. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that there is some issue with regard to payment of salary of the petitioner which he would agitate. This Court will not make any observation, it is for the petitioner to see if any cause of action exists to him. He is at liberty to seek his remedy for any cause of action which survives.

6. The Writ Application as well as the Interlocutory Applications stand disposed of accordingly.

(Rajeev Ranjan Prasad, J)

Rajeev/-

U			
---	--	--	--

