

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.18137 of 2022

Bank of Baroda a Body Corporate constituted under the Banking Companies (Acquisition and Transfer of Undertakings) Act, 1970, having its Registered Office at Mandvi, Baroda, Corporate Office at Baroda Corporate Centre, C-26, G- Block, Bandra (E), Mumbai- 400051 and one of its Regional Office among others at Patna and one of its branches at S.K. Puri, Patna from where the account was migrated for its recovery and resolution to Bank of Baroda, ROSARB, Patna, Distt.- Patna represented through its Chief Manager, (duly constituted attorney) who is competent to verify and plead.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through its Chief Secretary.
2. The District Magistrate, Bhojpur.
3. The Officer In-Charge, Law Section, Collectorate Bhojpur, Bihar.

... .. Respondent/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Santosh Kumar Singh, Advocate
For the State	:	Mr. Rakesh Prashad, AC to SC 21

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SANJEEV PRAKASH SHARMA

ORAL ORDER

- 4 17-04-2023 1. Learned counsel for the petitioner fairly states that the order passed by the D.R.T. has been challenged before the D.R.A.T. Allahabad and the interim order has been passed by the D.R.A.T. restraining all the parties to maintain *status quo*.
2. In view thereof, the present writ petition filed by the Bank asking the State to get the property evicted, and to give the possession of the property has become infructuous. Any orders passed by the D.R.A.T. would be completely independent of the pendency of this writ petition.



3. The writ petition stands dismissed as having
become infructuous.

(Sanjeev Prakash Sharma, J)

Sachin/-
Item No. 39

U			
---	--	--	--

