

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.67585 of 2022

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-138 Year-2022 Thana- CHANAN District- Lakhisarai

Sanjiv Kumar @ Roni Kumar Son of Krishnandan Mandal Resident of village
- Reauta, P.S.- Chanan, Dist.- Lakhisarai.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Prabhat Ranjan Singh, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Navin Kumar Pandey, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH KUMAR VERMA
ORAL ORDER

3 03-04-2023 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
Additional Public Prosecutor for the State.

Learned counsel for the petitioner is permitted to
remove the defect(s), as pointed out by the office, if any, within
a period of four weeks from today.

Petitioner seeks bail in a case registered for the
offences punishable under Sections 341, 323, 504, 506, 354D,
509, 384/34 of the Indian Penal Code.

According to prosecution case, the petitioner used to
blackmail the victim by editing one of her video and demanded
Rs. 5 lakhs with threatening that if she fails to pay the said
amount, he will viral the video through social media.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that
petitioner has clean antecedent and he has falsely been



implicated in the present case. He further submits that the allegation as alleged in the F.I.R. is false and fabricated. He further submits that in fact, the petitioner and the victim was in love and the petitioner has performed the marriage with the victim on 03.09.2022. He further submits that after the marriage the present F.I.R. is instituted against the petitioner and his family members. He further submits that the victim has disclosed before the trial Court that she has performed the marriage with the petitioner on 03.09.2022. He further submits that the police after investigation submitted the charge sheet against the petitioner. The petitioner is in custody since 14.09.2022.

The learned Additional Public Prosecutor has vehemently opposed the prayer for bail of the petitioner.

Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances, let the petitioner, above named, be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned Court below where the case is pending in connection with Chanan P.S. Case No. 138 of 2022, subject to the following conditions:-

1. One of the bailor should be the victim, namely, Varsa Bharti.



2. Petitioner shall co-operate in the trial and shall be properly represented on each and every date fixed by the court and shall remain physically present as directed by the court and on his absence on two consecutive dates without sufficient reason, his bail bond shall be cancelled by the Court below.

3. If the petitioner tampers with the evidence or the witnesses, in that case, the prosecution will be at liberty to move for cancellation of bail.

4. And further condition that the court below shall verify the criminal antecedent of the petitioner and in case at any stage it is found that the petitioner has concealed his criminal antecedent, the court below shall take step for cancellation of bail bond of the petitioner. However, the acceptance of bail bonds in terms of the above-mentioned order shall not be delayed for purpose of or in the name of verification.

(Rajesh Kumar Verma, J)

Vanisha/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

