

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.17071 of 2022

=====

Ranjeet Rishidev son of Late Jagdish Rishidev Resident of Village and P.O.-
Aurahi, Police Station-B. Kothi, District- Purnea.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Collector, Purnea.
2. The Collector, Purnea, Bihar.
3. The Land Reforms Deputy Collector, Purnea.
4. The Circle Officer, Barahara Kothi, Purnea.
5. The Sub-Divisional Magistrate, Purnea.
6. Tapes Pathak son of Late Ugra Narayan Pathak resident of village- Aurahi,
Police Station- B.Kothi, District- Purnea.
7. Prabhu Narayan Pathak son of Maheshwar Pathak resident of village-
Aurahi, Police Station- B.Kothi, District- Purnea.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Abhishek, Advocate
For the Respondent/s : Mr. Md. Khurshid Alam (AAG 12)

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PARTHA SARTHY
ORAL ORDER

2 21-04-2023 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
counsel for the State.

The petitioner has filed the instant writ application for
the following relief(s):-

*“A. For directing the Respondent authorities not to
interfere with the running jamabandi of the petitioner and
further to issue rent receipts for the land which was settled
to the father of petitioner for which mutation was done and
rent receipts have been issued till the year 2021.*

*B. For directing the respondent authorities to consider and
decide the representation of the petitioner which is pending
before them with respect to the settled land of the petitioner
over which private respondents are making claim without*



any legal and valid document.

C. For directing the respondent authorities to protect the interest of petitioner over his settled land and further they may be directed to take steps, if any, accordance with law after giving proper opportunity of hearing to the petitioner and till then petitioner possession over the land may not be interfered.

D. For grant of any other relief or reliefs for which petitioner is entitled in the fact and circumstances of the case.”

After some argument learned counsel for the petitioner prays that the representation filed by the petitioner before the District Magistrate, Purnea as contained at page 19 to the writ application may be directed to be decided by the concerned District Magistrate within a fixed period.

Having heard learned counsel for the parties, this writ application is disposed of with the following directions:-

(I) The petitioner will file a fresh representation along with all relevant documents before the District Magistrate, Purnea (respondent no. 2) within 4 weeks.

(II) The District Magistrate, Purnea shall decide the representation of the petitioner after hearing all parties concerned in accordance with law within a period of three months from its filing.

It may be mentioned here that the Court has not



entered into the merit of the case of the respective parties, in the instant case.

(Partha Sarthy, J)

Spd/-

U			
---	--	--	--

