

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.67071 of 2022

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-123 Year-2022 Thana- AIRPORT District- Patna

PAWAN KUMAR Son of Late Binod Ram Resident of Village/Mohalla- Near
Punam Gas Agency Godown, Tenant of Sonu jee, P.S- Rupaspur, Dist- Patna

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Sudhir Kumar Singh, Adv.

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Shyameshwar Dayal, APP.

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH KUMAR VERMA
ORAL ORDER

2 21-03-2023 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
Additional Public Prosecutor for the State.

Petitioner seeks bail in a case registered for the
offences punishable under Sections 356, 379 and 411 of the
Indian Penal Code.

According to prosecution case, one Redmi mobile
phone is said to have been recovered from the possession of the
petitioner.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the
petitioner has clean antecedent and he has falsely been
implicated in the present case. He further submits that one
mobile phone has been recovered from the possession of the
petitioner and the police after investigation submitted the charge
sheet against the petitioner. The petitioner is in custody since



18.05.2022.

The learned Additional Public Prosecutor for the State has vehemently opposed the prayer for bail of the petitioner.

Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances, let the petitioner, above named, be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned Court below where the case is pending in connection with Hawaii Adda P.S. Case No. 123 of 2022, subject to the following conditions:-

1. Petitioner shall co-operate in the trial and shall be properly represented on each and every date fixed by the court and shall remain physically present as directed by the court and on his absence on two consecutive dates without sufficient reason, his bail bond shall be cancelled by the Court below.

2. If the petitioner tampers with the evidence or the witnesses, in that case, the prosecution will be at liberty to move for cancellation of bail.

3. And further condition that the court below shall verify the criminal antecedent of the petitioner and in case at any stage it is found that the petitioner has concealed his criminal antecedent, the court below shall take step for cancellation of bail bond of the petitioner. However, the acceptance of bail



*bonds in terms of the above-mentioned order shall not
be delayed for purpose of or in the name of
verification.*

(Rajesh Kumar Verma, J)

amit/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

