

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.75965 of 2023

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-12 Year-2021 Thana- C.B.I CASE District- Patna

SHASHI BHUSHAN KUMAR S/O LATE DWARIKA PRASAD R/O VILL-
CHANDPUR BELA, ANIL BHAWAN LANE, P.S.-PHULWARI, DISTRICT-
PATNA

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

THE CENTRAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION BIHAR

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Harish Kumar, Adv.
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Nivedita Nirvikar, APP.
For C.B.I. : Mr. Avanish Kumar Singh, S.P.P.

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ANJANI KUMAR SHARAN
ORAL ORDER

2 10-11-2023 Heard the parties.

2. Petitioner apprehends his arrest in Patna Case No. 12(A) of 2021 registered for the offences punishable under Sections 120(B), 420, 468, 471 of the IPC.

3. This is the second attempt of the petitioner to approach before this Court for grant of anticipatory bail.

4. Earlier vide order dated 03.08.2023 passed in Cr. Misc. No. 38462 of 2023, the prayer for bail of the petitioner was dismissed.

5. Having heard learned counsel for the parties and perusing the materials available on record, only one ground has been raised to consider the prayer for bail is that petitioner is ready to pay the said amount i.e. Rs. 45,60,000/- which is



allegedly taken by the petitioner and other co-accused persons on pretext of providing the job to the victims in Indian Railways.

6. Learned APP for the State as well as S.P.P for the C.B.I. opposed the prayer for bail and relied upon the judgment of the Apex Court in the case of ***G.R. Ananda Babu vs. State of Tamil Nadu*** and Another reported in 2021 SCC Online SC 176.

7. Considering the fact that earlier, on the merit, the anticipatory bail of the petitioner was dismissed, I am not entertain to allow the second anticipatory bail of the petitioner.

8. Accordingly, this application is dismissed.

(Anjani Kumar Sharan, J)

divyanshi/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

