

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.67956 of 2022

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-389 Year-2021 Thana- SASARAM MUFFSIL District- Rohtas

KRISHNA KUMAR SINGH S/o Lalan Singh R/v- Rampur, P.S.- Sasaram
(M), District- Rohtas

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. Police Inspector Cum Investigating Officer Vigilance Investigation Bureau
Patna Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Vikramdeo Singh, Sr. Adv. Mr. Rajesh Kumar Singh, Adv.
For the State	:	Mr. Ajay Mishra, APP.
For the Vigilance	:	Ms. Archana Palkar Khopde. Adv.

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SHAILENDRA SINGH
ORAL ORDER

- 3 13-03-2023 Let the defects, if any, pointed out by the office be removed within three weeks from the date of this order, failing which the matter be listed again under the appropriate heading for necessary action.

Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and the learned APP for the State.

Petitioner seeks regular bail in connection with Sasaram (M) P.S. Case No. 389 of 2021 registered for the offences punishable under Sections 420, 467, 468, 471 and 120(B) of the Indian Penal Code.

As per the prosecution, the informant alleged that this petitioner took government job on submitting forged mark-sheet



of Matriculation examination.

The main submissions advanced by petitioner's counsel are that the petitioner has been dismissed from his service for the alleged wrong and in the present time, he is facing trial and languishing in jail since 23.09.2022. Though, against him, there are criminal antecedent of five cases but the said cases relate to family dispute and the FIR of the instant matter was lodged after eighteen years of the petitioner's service.

Learned counsel appearing for the Vigilance as well as learned APP appearing for the State has vehemently opposed the bail prayer and submitted that the petitioner succeeded to get government employment by using his forged educational document and he drew salary for about eighteen years and in view of the nature of allegation appearing against him, he does not deserve to the privilege of bail.

Considering the aforesaid facts and mainly the petitioner's custody period and also the facts that in the present matter the investigation has been completed and the case of the prosecution is mainly based on the documentary evidence and in the present time, the petitioner is facing trial and as per the above submission he has been dismissed from his service, in my



opinion it is a fit case for bail to the petitioner. Accordingly, let the petitioner named-above be enlarged on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs.10,000/-(Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the Court concerned in connection with Sasaram (M) P.S. Case No. 389 of 2021.

(Shailendra Singh, J)

annu/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

