

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.65923 of 2022

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-582 Year-2021 Thana- MADHEPURA District- Madhepura

Ranjeet Yadav @ Ranjit Yadav @ Ranjeet Kumar S/O Madan Yadav R/O
Village-Pithahi, P.S- Madhepura (Mathali O.P), District- Madhepura

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. N.K. Agrawal, Sr. Advocate
Mr. Pawan Kumar, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Abhay Kumar Roy, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH KUMAR VERMA
ORAL ORDER

4 07-07-2023 Heard Mr. N.K. Agrawal, learned senior counsel for
the petitioner assisted by Mr. Pawan Kumar and learned
Additional Public Prosecutor for the State.

Learned counsel for the petitioner is permitted to
remove the defect(s), as pointed out by the office, if any, within
a period of four weeks from today.

Petitioner seeks bail who is in custody since
24.08.2022 in connection with Madhepura P.S. Case No. 582 of
2021, F.I.R. dated 22.07.2021 for the offences punishable under
Sections 302/34 of the Indian Penal Code.

According to prosecution case, this petitioner along
with other accused persons have killed the husband of the
informant under conspiracy and also snatched Rs. 10,000/-.



Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner has clean antecedent and he has falsely been implicated in the present case merely on the basis of suspicion. He further submits that the informant is not the eye witness of the alleged occurrence and except suspicion, no other material has come during investigation to suggest the involvement of the petitioner in the present occurrence. He further submits that as per the F.I.R. the informant suggests the age of the petitioner to be about 30 years but the petitioner is only aged about 23 years. He further submits that the police after investigation submitted the charge sheet against the petitioner. The petitioner is in custody since 24.08.2022.

The learned Additional Public Prosecutor has vehemently opposed the prayer for bail of the petitioner. o

Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances, let the petitioner, above named, be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned Chief Judicial Magistrate, Madhepura in connection with Madhepura P.S. Case No. 582 of 2021, subject to the following conditions:-

1. Petitioner shall co-operate in the trial and shall be properly represented on each and every date



fixed by the court and shall remain physically present as directed by the court and on his absence on two consecutive dates without sufficient reason, his bail bond shall be cancelled by the Court below.

2. If the petitioner tampers with the evidence or the witnesses, in that case, the prosecution will be at liberty to move for cancellation of bail.

3. And further condition that the court below shall verify the criminal antecedent of the petitioner and in case at any stage it is found that the petitioner has concealed his criminal antecedent, the court below shall take step for cancellation of bail bond of the petitioner. However, the acceptance of bail bonds in terms of the above-mentioned order shall not be delayed for purpose of or in the name of verification.

(Rajesh Kumar Verma, J)

Vanisha/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

