

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.19716 of 2019

=====

Madan Kumar Das, Son of Late Mahant Pancham Das, resident of Village-
Madhubani Bazar, P.S.- K. Hat, District- Purnia.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar, through the Chief Secretary, Government of Bihar, Old Secretariat, Patna.
2. The Secretary, Land and Revenue, Old Secretariat, Patna.
3. The District Magistrate, Purnea.
4. The Sub Divisional Officer, Araria, District- Purnea.
5. The Circle Officer, Araria, District- Purnea.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Amrendra Kumar Shrivastawa, Advocate
For the Respondent/s : Mr.Subash Chandra Yadav (GP15)

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE DR. ANSHUMAN
ORAL ORDER

3 15-09-2023 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
counsel for the State.

2. The present matter has been listed under the
heading 'To Be Mentioned' upon urgent mentioning of learned
counsel for the petitioner.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner under
instructions submits that the petitioner shall be content if a
direction is issued to the authority concerned to consider and
decide the representation of the petitioner dated 121.12.2018 (as
contained in Annexure-3 to the writ petition) for redressal of the
grievance(s).



4. It transpires to this Court that here in the present case, the petitioner is aged about 62 years and will come within the category of senior citizen and the State of Bihar has enacted special law namely, the Bihar Maintenance & Welfare of Parents & Senior Citizens Rules, 2012 (hereinafter referred to as the Rule of 2012'). Rule 21 of the said Act categorically indicates the provisions relating to the protection of life and property of senior citizens.

5. In the present facts and circumstances, the petitioner is directed to file an application before the Superintendent of Police of the district for protecting his life and property within four weeks from the date of this order whereupon the Superintendent of Police is expected to do the needful in accordance with the provisions of the Rule of 2012.

6. With the aforesaid direction and observation, the writ petition stands disposed of.

(Dr. Anshuman, J)

Ashwini/-

U			
---	--	--	--

