

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.7707 of 2017

Praveen Kumar Pandey Son of Sri Kailash Pandey resident of Sonu Sadan,
New Chandmari, Near Durga Mandir, P.S. - Town, Motihari, East Champaran,
Bihar - 845401.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The Union Of India, through the Secretary, Ministry of Telecommunication,
Government of India, New Delhi.
2. The Chief General Manager, Bharat Sanchar Nigam Limited, Bihar Circle,
Patna.
3. The General Manager, Telecom Division, Bharat Sanchar Nigam Limited,
Chapra.
4. The Telecom District Manager, Bharat Sanchar Nigam Limited, Motihari.

... .. Respondent/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Sanjay Kumar Tiwari, Advocate
For the Respondent/s	:	Mr. S.D Sanjay Addl. Soc. Gen.
For BSNL	:	Mr. Upendra Kumar Singh, Advocate

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE P. B. BAJANTHRI
and
HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN KUMAR JHA
ORAL ORDER

(Per: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE P. B. BAJANTHRI)

3 24-04-2023 In the instant petition, petitioner has prayed for the
following relief(s):-

*“1 (I). To direct the respondent
authorities, specially respondent no.4
Telecom District Manager, BSNL, Motihari,
as to make payment of Rs. 8,16,076.00 to the
petitioner, towards payment of monthly
remuneration of the Security Guards,
provided by the petitioner to the respondent
no.4.*

*(II) To grant any other relief or
reliefs which may deem fit and proper in the
facts and circumstances of the case.”*

2. Perusal of Para 8 of the counter counter affidavit it



is evident that grievance of the petitioner has been settled to some extent.

3. Para 8 of the counter affidavit reads as under:-

“8. That, the deponent further humbly states and submits that in reply to the statement made in paragraph no. 9, it is an admitted fact by the petitioner in paragraph-9 of the writ application that the bill for the month of November, 2016 has already been passed by the Telecom Manager, BSNL, Motihari. Now only the bill for the month of December, 2016 is pending which is also under process for passing and remuneration of petitioner shall be made as early as possible.”

4. If there are any remaining dues of the petitioner, he is at liberty to approach the concerned authority while making a detailed representation and the same shall be considered by the competent authority.

5. With the above observations, present writ petition stands disposed of.

(P. B. Bajanthri, J)

(Arun Kumar Jha, J)

shoaib/-

U			
---	--	--	--

