

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.68218 of 2023

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-570 Year-2023 Thana- BEGUSARAI COMPLAINT CASE
District- Begusarai

=====

Kumar Anand S/O Santosh Kumar Sinha R/O Block Road, Amarpur, P.O.-
P.S.-Amarpur, Dist.-BANKA Permanent R/O At-Anand Ashram, Lalbagh
Colony, Ward No.22, Near Techno Mission School, Tilkamanjhi, Jagdishpur,
P.O. P.S. Dist.-BHAGALPUR

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. Navodita Navnit W/O Kumar Anand R/O Village-Ratanpur, P.S.-
BEGUSARAI Nagar, P.O.-RATANPUR, Dist.-BEGUSARAI

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Ravindra Kumar, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Md. Shakir Ahmad, APP

=====

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE CHANDRA PRAKASH
SINGH**

ORAL ORDER

2 31-10-2023 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
Additional Public Prosecutor for the State.

2. The petitioner is apprehending his arrest in connection with Complainant Case No. 570 of 2023 dated 10.04.2023 registered for the offence punishable under Sections 498A, 504, 506, 307, 323 read with 34 of the Indian Penal Code and u/ss 3/4 of the Dowry Prohibition and Excise Act.

3. As per the prosecution case, the petitioner and the co-accused persons are alleged to have tortured the Complainant mentally and physically due to non-fulfillment of demand of Maruti Car (Brezza) as dowry.



4. Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the petitioner has falsely been implicated in this case. There is general and omnibus allegation against the petitioner. It is further submitted that the Complainant has also filed Divorce Case No. 81 of 2022 thereafter, the instant present case has been filed against the petitioner and his family members. The petitioner has relied upon the judgment of this Court in the case of **"Md. Naimul Haque Ansari @ Naimul Haque Ansari & Ors. Vs. The State of Bihar, reported in 2006(3) PLJR 182."** Learned counsel has further submitted that Section 498A of the IPC is triable by the Magistrate. Learned counsel has further relied on the judgments in the case of **Satendra Kumar Antil Vs. Central Bureau of Investigation and Another (2022) 10 SCR 351** and **Md. Asfak Alam Vs. The State of Jharkhand & Anr passed in Criminal Appeal No(s). 2207 of 2023 arising out of Special Leave Petition (CRL.) No. 3433 of 2023.** The petitioner has no criminal antecedent as stated in para 3 of the bail petition.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State has vehemently opposed the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case, let the above named petitioner, in the event of his



arrest/surrender within a period of six weeks from today, be enlarged on anticipatory bail on furnishing bail-bond of Rs. 20,000/- (Rupees twenty thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned court concerned, Begusarai in connection with Complainant Case No. 570 of 2023, subject to conditions as laid down under section 438(2) of the Code of Criminal Procedure, with further following condition/s :-

(i) The petitioner is directed to remain physically present before the learned Court below on each and every date, failing which on two consecutive dates without reasonable cause, the bail bonds of the petitioner is liable to be cancelled.

7. If so advised, either of the parties will be at liberty to make an application before the Court below for referring the matter to the District Mediation Centre for the purpose of reconciliation or one time settlement.

8. The application stands allowed.

(Chandra Prakash Singh, J)

Gautam/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

