

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.66035 of 2022

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-498 Year-2022 Thana- BETTIAH CITY District- West
Champaran

Anil Ram, Son of Bunilal Ram R/v- Purvi Kargahiya, Ward No. 7, P.S.-
Bettiah Mufassil, District- West Champaran Petitioner/s
Versus

The State of Bihar Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Anant Kumar Mishra, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Surendra Kumar, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH KUMAR VERMA
ORAL ORDER

2 17-03-2023 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
Additional Public Prosecutor for the State.

Petitioner seeks bail in a case registered for the
offences punishable under Sections 379 and 411 of the Indian
Penal Code.

The petitioner along with another are said to have
been caught by the informant while they were committing theft
of motorcycle of the informant.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that
petitioner has falsely been implicated in this case. He further
submits that the name of the petitioner has transpired in the
confessional statement of the Co-accused, namely, Jai Prakash
Sah and nothing has been recovered from the conscious
possession of the petitioner. The alleged recovery has been
made from the co-accused Jai Prakash Sah and the police after
investigation submitted charge sheet against the petitioner and



the petitioner is in custody since 01.08.2022.

The learned Additional Public Prosecutor for the State has vehemently opposed the prayer for bail of the petitioner.

Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances, let the petitioner, above named, be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned Court below where the case is pending in connection with Bettiah Town P.S. Case No. 498 of 2022, subject to the following conditions:-

1. Petitioner shall co-operate in the trial and shall be properly represented on each and every date fixed by the court and shall remain physically present as directed by the court and on his absence on two consecutive dates without sufficient reason, his bail bond shall be cancelled by the Court below.

2. If the petitioner tampers with the evidence or the witnesses, in that case, the prosecution will be at liberty to move for cancellation of bail.

3. And further condition that the court below shall verify the criminal antecedent of the petitioner and in case at any stage it is found that the petitioner has concealed his criminal antecedent, the court below shall take step for



cancellation of bail bond of the petitioner. However, the acceptance of bail bonds in terms of the above-mentioned order shall not be delayed for purpose of or in the name of verification.

kamlesh/-

(Rajesh Kumar Verma, J)

U		T	
---	--	---	--

