

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.71131 of 2023

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-329 Year-2023 Thana- MURLIGANJ District- Madhepura

Diwakar Singh @ Diwakar Kumar Singh @ Chintu Singh Son Of Ashok
Singh Resident Of Village Rajani Ward No 9 Ps Murliganj, Distt - Madhepura

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State Of Bihar Patna

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Rakesh Ranjan, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Ms. Anita Kumari, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH KUMAR VERMA
ORAL ORDER

2 07-11-2023 Heard Mr. Rakesh Ranjan, learned counsel for the

petitioner and Ms. Anita Kumari, learned Additional Public

Prosecutor for the State.

2. The petitioner is apprehending his arrest in
connection with Murliganj P.S. Case No. 329 of 2023, F.I.R
dated 12.08.2023 registered for the offences punishable under
Sections 30(a), 32(ii)(iii) and 41(i)(ii) of Bihar Prohibition and
Excise Act, 2022.

3. Recovery is of 3792 liters of English liquor.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that
the petitioner is innocent and has falsely been implicated in the
present case on the basis of the disclosure made by the co-
accused persons, namely, Shiva Kumar and Shintu Mehta. He
further submits that it appears from the F.I.R that nothing has



been recovered from the conscious possession of the petitioner rather the recovery has been made from the vehicle in question and the petitioner has no concern at all with the alleged recovery of the illicit liquor or the vehicle in question. There is non-compliance with mandatory procedure prescribed for recovery under Section 100 of Cr.P.C. No case, whatsoever, would be made out against the petitioner under the Bihar Prohibition and Excise Act.

5. The learned Additional Public Prosecutor has vehemently opposed the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner referring the provision contained in Section 76(2) of the Bihar Prohibition and Excise Act and submitted that the pre-arrest bail would not be maintainable. He further submits that the petitioner carries two criminal antecedents other than the present one of the similar nature.

6. This court is aware of the decision of the Full Bench in the case of **Ram Vinay Yadav vs. State of Bihar** reported in **2019(2) P.L.J.R. 1089**. Having regard to the law laid down in the aforesaid judgment and the submission advanced on behalf of the parties, this Court, for the limited purpose of grant of anticipatory bail, is inclined to accept the submission of counsel for the petitioner.



7. Considering the aforesaid facts, nothing has been recovered from conscious possession of the petitioner and the name of the petitioner has been transpired on the basis of the disclosure made by the co-accused persons, let the petitioner, above named, in the event of his arrest or surrender before the court below within a period of thirty days from the date of receipt of the order, be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned Additional District & Sessions Judge-V-cum-Special Judge (Excise), Madhepura in connection with Murliganj P.S. Case No. 392 of 2023, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 438(2) of the Code of Criminal Procedure and with other following conditions:-

1. Petitioner shall co-operate in the trial and shall be properly represented on each and every date fixed by the court and shall remain physically present as directed by the court and on his absence on two consecutive dates without sufficient reason, his bail bond shall be cancelled by the Court below.

2. If the petitioner tampers with the evidence or the witnesses, in that case, the prosecution will be at liberty to move



for cancellation of bail.

3. And further condition that the court below shall verify the criminal antecedent of the petitioner and in case at any stage it is found that the petitioner has concealed his criminal antecedent, the court below shall take step for cancellation of bail bond of the petitioner. However, the acceptance of bail bonds in terms of the above-mentioned order shall not be delayed for purpose of or in the name of verification.

(Rajesh Kumar Verma, J)

Vanisha/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

