

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.69568 of 2023

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-699 Year-2021 Thana- ALAMGANJ District- Patna

RAVI PESENT @ RAVI GUPTA @ NETAJEE @ MASTER @ DOCTOR
SON OF LATE MAHESH PRASAD RESIDENT OF MOHALLA-
SADIQUE PUR MACHHUA TOLI (NEPALI KEMAKAN ME
KIRAYADAR), P.S- ALAMGANJ, DISTT- PATNA

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Rudra Deo, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Bhanu Pratap Singh, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PARTHA SARTHY
ORAL ORDER

3 20-12-2023

1. Heard learned counsel for the parties.

2. The petitioner has preferred this application for grant of regular bail in connection with Alamganj P.S. Case no.699 of 2021 registered under sections 307, 387, 341, 394 and 34 of the Indian Penal Code and section 27 of the Arms Act.

3. As per the prosecution case, the informant states that the petitioner on an earlier occasion had demanded a *rangdari* of Rs.20 lacs from him. For the reasons that he knew the petitioner from before he did not treat the demand as serious. Subsequently, on the date of occurrence, four accused persons on two motorcycles resorted to indiscriminate firing with a



pistol as a result of which the informant sustained gunshot injuries. They took away Rs.1.5 lacs in cash. Among the accused on the motorcycle, the informant identified the petitioner.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in the case for oblique reasons. The allegations in the F.I.R. are false and concocted. The allegations are general and omnibus in nature. No specific overt act has been alleged against this petitioner. The petitioner is in custody since 27.3.2022 and chargesheet has been submitted in the case.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State opposes the prayer for bail of the petitioner submitting that not only the petitioner is named in the F.I.R. but the allegation of firing by the accused persons is substantiated from the injury report. It is lastly submitted that the petitioner has 19 criminal antecedents.

6. Having heard learned counsel for the parties and taking into consideration the nature of allegation in the F.I.R., the material that has transpired in course of investigation including the contents of the injury report wherein gunshot injury has been found on the informant, the Court is not inclined to enlarge the petitioner on bail and the application is rejected.



7. Learned trial Court is directed to expedite the trial.

(Partha Sarthy, J)

Saurabh/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

