

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.16062 of 2022

=====

Deepak Kumar Son of Jaynarayan Mandal, Resident of Village - Tikapatti, P.O.
and P.S. - Teekapatti, District - Purnea.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar.
2. The District Magistrate, Purnea.
3. The Additional District Magistrate, Purnea.
4. The Sub-Divisional District Magistrate, Dhamdaha, Purnea.
5. The Circle Officer, Rupouli, Purnea.
6. The Superintendent of Police, Purnea.
7. The S.H.O. Tikapatti, District – Purnea.

.....Respondents 1st Set.

8. Manoj Singh, Son of Late Randhir Singh, Resident of village - Tikapatti Market, P.S. - Tikapatti, District - Purnea.
9. Akhilesh Sah, Son of Late Gopal Sah, Resident of village - Tikapatti Market, P.S. - Tikapatti, District - Purnea.
10. Jogi Mandal, Son of Bijay Mandal, Resident of village - Tikapatti Market, P.S. - Tikapatti, District - Purnea.
11. Chandan Sah, Son of Rajeshwar Sah, Resident of village - Tikapatti Market, P.S. - Tikapatti, District - Purnea.
12. Pappu Thakur, Son of Nondhar Thakur, Resident of village - Tikapatti Market, P.S. - Tikapatti, District - Purnea.
13. Suresh Bhagat @ Suresh Jaiswal Son of Bhubneshwar Bhubneshwar Jaiswal Resident of village - Tikapatti Market, P.S. - Tikapatti, District - Purnea.
14. Uma Devi, Wife of Jagdeo Mandal, Resident of village - Tikapatti Market, P.S. - Tikapatti, District – Purnea.

.....Respondents 2nd Set.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr.Raghvendra Kumar Singh, Adv.
For the State	:	Mr.Md. Khurshid AlamAAG-12
		Mr.Ebadur Rahman Shakeb, Ac to AAG-12
For the Respondent No.8:		Mr.Sanjeev Kumar Singh, Adv.

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE MOHIT KUMAR SHAH
ORAL ORDER

2 20-06-2023 Though the prayer of the petitioner in the
present writ petition is to direct the respondent-



authorities to remove the encroachment from Tikkapatti Hat, Sub-Division-Dhamdaha having Khata No.1596 and Khesra No.4637, 4642, 4643 and 4644 having an area of 1.48 acres which belongs to the land of Hatt. Sairat (Govt. Land), however the learned counsel for the respondent-State has referred to the counter affidavit, filed in the present case to submit that the encroachment in question has already stood removed, but the learned counsel for the petitioner has disputed the same.

Having regard to the disputed question of fact involved in the present case, I deem it fit and proper to grant liberty to the petitioner to approach the District Magistrate, Purnea for redressal of his subsisting grievances, if any.

Accordingly, the present writ petition stands disposed off.

(Mohit Kumar Shah, J)

sonal/-

U			
---	--	--	--

