

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.65075 of 2022

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-658 Year-2019 Thana- DANAPUR District- Patna

-
1. MANJU DEVI Wife of Surender Rai Resident of Village- Panapur, P.S.- Akilpur, District- Patna
 2. Sanju Devi Wife of Virender Rai Resident of Village- Panapur, P.S.- Akilpur, District- Patna
 3. Surender Rai Son of Late Chulhai Rai Resident of Village- Panapur, P.S.- Akilpur, District- Patna
 4. Virender Rai Son of Late Chulhai Rai Resident of Village- Panapur, P.S.- Akilpur, District- Patna

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Sanjay Kumar Sinha
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Binod Kumar

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ANJANI KUMAR SHARAN
ORAL ORDER

5 02-05-2023 Heard learned counsel for the petitioners and learned
APP for the State.

The petitioners are apprehending their arrest in a case registered for the offence punishable under Sections 304(B) and 120(B)/34 of the Indian Penal Code pending in the learned court below.

As per the prosecution case, the petitioner married with the daughter of the informant and after some period of marriage all the accused persons started making pressure on his daughter for money otherwise she shall be killed by them. It is further stated that on her call, the informant arrived at the house of her son-in-law and found no one there all have fled away when he entered into the house he found her daughter Baby



Devi lying dead in her matrimonial home.

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners are innocent and have been falsely implicated in this case. He further submits that the petitioners are in law of the deceased. He submits that there is no specific overt act against the petitioners. He further submits that after filing of the above mentioned complaint application, the deceased lady was continuously living in her maternal home and in this long period there was no connectivity between both the families. He further submits that petitioners have got no criminal antecedent as stated in para-3 of the bail application.

Per contra, learned APP for the State vehemently opposing the bail application and submitted that the allegation levelled as the petitioners is serious in nature. Hence, they do not deserve anticipatory bail.

Considering the nature of the offence, I am not inclined to enlarge the petitioners on bail in connection with Danapur P.S. Case No. 658/2019. Accordingly, their prayer for anticipatory bail is hereby rejected.

(Anjani Kumar Sharan, J)

ajay/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

