

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.66353 of 2022**

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-560 Year-2020 Thana- MUZAFFARPUR SADAR District-
Muzaffarpur

=====

Vikash Kumar Son of Suresh Paswan R/V- Near Shiv Mandir, Bhagwanpur,
P.S- Sadar, Dist- Muzaffarpur

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Anuj Kumar, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Syed Ehteshamuddin, APP

=====

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH KUMAR VERMA
ORAL ORDER**

2 18-03-2023 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
APP for the State.

Petitioner seeks bail in a case registered for the
offences punishable under Sections 392 of the Indian Penal
Code.

The F.I.R. of the occurrence of loot is against
unknown.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the
petitioner is innocent and he has been falsely implicated in the
present case. He further submits that the petitioner is not named
in the F.I.R. and the name of the petitioner has been transpired
on the basis of the confessional statement of co-accused and
nothing has been recovered from the conscious possession of



the petitioner and till date no test identification parade was conducted by the prosecution. He further submits that the police after investigation submitted chargesheet against the petitioner and the petitioner was remanded in this case on 21.12.2020.

Learned APP for the State has opposed the prayer for bail of the petitioner on the ground that the petitioner carries one more case other than the present one.

Considering the facts and circumstances of the case and the period of custody, let the petitioner, above named, be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned Court below where the case is pending in connection with Muzaffarpur Sadar P.S. Case No. 560 of 2020, with the following conditions :-

(1) Petitioner shall co-operate in the trial and shall be properly represented on each and every date fixed by the Court and shall remain physically present as directed by the Court and on his absence on two consecutive dates without sufficient reason, his bail bond shall be cancelled by the Court below.

(2) If the petitioner tampers with the evidence or the witness, in that case, the prosecution will be at liberty to move



for cancellation of bail.

(3) And, further condition that the court below shall verify the criminal antecedent of the petitioner and in case at any stage, it is found that the petitioner has concealed his criminal antecedents, the court below shall take step for cancellation of bail bond of the petitioner. However, the acceptance of bail bonds in terms of the above-mentioned order shall not be delayed for purpose of or in the name of verification.

(Rajesh Kumar Verma, J)

Ibrar//-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

