

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.67136 of 2023**

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-33 Year-2010 Thana- KHARHAGPUR District- Munger

PRAKASH MANJHI SON OF LATE MOTI MANJHI RESIDENT OF
VILLAGE- KOILO, PS- HARAIYA TAND, DISTT- MUNGER

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Kumar Kamal Nayan, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Ashok Kumar Singh, APP

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SANDEEP KUMAR
ORAL ORDER**

2 17-10-2023 Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner and
learned counsel for the State.

2. The petitioner seeks bail in connection with S.T.
No. 211 of 2022 arising out of Kharagpur P.S. Case No.33 of
2010 registered for the offence under Sections 121(A)/122 of
the Indian Penal Code, Section 25(1-A), 26(i)(ii)/35 of the Arms
Act, Section 3, 4, 5 of the Explosive Substance Act, Section 17
of the CLA and Section 13 of the U.A.P. Act.

3. As per the prosecution case, on 02.02.20210 at
about 5 PM, a joint search operation was conducted on
information about some Maoist activities. The police party
reached the place of occurrence near village Kandani in a dense
forest area, and on search, huge amount of illegal fire arms
including RDX kept in various bags, other explosive articles,
electronic detonators, hand grenades, wireless sets, printer,
scanner and literature related to Naxalies activities were



recovered. The petitioner is named as accused in the F.I.R. and he is also accused in another case. The case is of the year 2010 and the petitioner is in custody since 11.05.2022. It appears that the petitioner was an absconder in a case of 2010.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is quite innocent and has falsely been implicated in the case.

5. Learned A.P.P. has vehemently opposed the prayer for bail.

6. Considering the fact that the petitioner is an absconder, therefore, this Court is not inclined to grant bail to the petitioner.

7. Accordingly, the prayer of bail of the petitioner is rejected herewith.

8. The trial Court is directed to conclude the trial against the petitioner at the earliest.

(Sandeep Kumar, J)

Guddu/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

