

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.65022 of 2022

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-475 Year-2022 Thana- ARA NAGAR District- Bhojpur

VINOD SINGH S/O Sangam Singh R/O Village- Karisath, P.S-Udwant
Nagar, District- Bhojpur.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Anil Kumar

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Kalyan Shankar

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SUNIL KUMAR PANWAR
ORAL ORDER

6 22-08-2023 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
APP for the State.

2. The petitioner has prayed for bail in a case instituted
for the offence under Sections 21(c) and 29 of the N.D.P.S. Act.

3. It is case of recovery of 440 Gm of *Heroine*/smack
like substance and a mobile phone from the possession of the
petitioner.

4. It is submitted by learned counsel for the petitioner
that petitioner has been falsely implicated in this case. He has
committed no offence. He submitted that as alleged
Heroine/smack was recovered from the possession of the
petitioner but from the seizure list, it has not mention that alleged
narcotics substance was recovered from the possession of the
petitioner. Petitioner is languishing in judicial custody since



31.05.2022.

5. Learned APP for the State has opposed the application for bail and submitted that petitioner is named in the FIR and he has been made accused in two more cases. He further submitted that the seized *Heroine*/smack like substance from the possession of the petitioner comes within the purview of commercial quantity as per N.D.P.S. Act. During investigation several witnesses have also supported the prosecution case.

6. Having heard the learned counsel for the parties and considering the nature of allegation, this court is not inclined to enlarge the petitioner on bail and, as such, his prayer for bail stands rejected.

7. The trial Court is directed to conclude the trial expeditiously.

(Sunil Kumar Panwar, J)

arish/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

