

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.16341 of 2022

Vats Infratech Pvt. Ltd. Builder, Contractor and Supplier, Sukanto Palli Gate Bazaar, Ward No. 33, Siliguri, 734007, West Bengal through its Managing Director Meenakshi Rai, Aged about 41 years, Female, Wife of Binod Kumar Rai, Resident of Bansi Batraha, P.S.-Mishra Batraha, District-Gopalganj, at present residing at Sukanto Palli Gate Bazaar, Ward No. 33, Siliguri, 734007, West Bengal.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Additional Chief Secretary, Rural Works Department, Government of Bihar, Patna.
2. The Additional Chief Executive Officer-cum-Secretary, Bihar Rural Road Development Agency, Patna.
3. The Engineer-in-Chief, Rural Works Department, Government of Bihar, Patna.
4. The Chief Engineer, Rural Works Department, Bihar, Patna.
5. The Superintending Engineer, Rural Works Department, Work Circle, Kishanganj.
6. The Executive Engineer, Rural Works Department, Work Division, Kishanganj-1.
7. The Nodal Officer, PMGSY, Bihar Rural Road Development Agency, Patna.

... .. Respondent/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Ranjeet Kumar, Advocate Mr. Shikhar Mani, Advocate Mr. Kanishk Kaustubh, Advocate
For the Respondent/s	:	Mr. Kumar Alok, SC-7

CORAM: HONOURABLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE
and
HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PARTHA SARTHY
ORAL ORDER

(Per: HONOURABLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE)

3 30-01-2023 Petitioner has prayed for the following relief(s):-

“i. For issuance of direction to the respondent authorities to ensure payment of admitted dues of the petitioner for Rs. 1,23,112/- + 2,28,185/- for which two Cheques bearing Cheque No. 908780 & 908781 were issued by the Executive Engineer and Accountant Rural



Works Department, Work Division, Kishanganj but because of certain technicalities in between the said Executive Engineer and Nodal Officer, PMGSY Bihar Rural Road Development Agency, Patna, the cheque could not cleared and consequently the validity of the cheque expired for no fault on the part of the petitioner.

ii. That the petitioner further prays that the respondents authorities be held liable to pay 18% interest on the aforementioned admitted dues, for which the cheque was admittedly issued, but because of dispute between two officer, the cheque could not be cleared.

iii. For any other relief/reliefs for which the petitioner may be deemed entitled to.”

Learned counsel for the petitioner seeks permission to withdraw the present petition.

Permission granted.

Present petition stands disposed of as withdrawn.

Interlocutory application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed of.

(Sanjay Karol, CJ)

(Partha Sarthy, J)

shiv/PKP

U			
---	--	--	--

