

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.63872 of 2022

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-60 Year-2022 Thana- FORBESGANJ District- Araria

Md. Raja Alam @ Raja Son of Md. Habib Resident of Village- Amhara, Ward
NO.-12, P.S.- Forbesganj, District- Araria Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Mukesh Kumar Rana

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Nand Kishore Prasad

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SUNIL KUMAR PANWAR
ORAL ORDER

4 27-06-2023 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned APP
for the State.

The petitioner has prayed for bail in connection with
Forbesganj P.S. case No. 60 of 2022 instituted for the offence under
Sections 365, 302, 201/34 of the Indian Penal Code.

As per FIR the prosecution case, in brief, is that the
informant's daughter (deceased) got married with the petitioner and
blessed with two children from the wedlock but the petitioner in
connivance with his family members used to torture her mentally as
well as physically. On 12.01.2022 the informant came to know about
the missing of her daughter from the in-laws house and upon inquiry,
the in-laws members have not given proper response about the
missing of his daughter. Informant has strong suspicion that
petitioner had killed his daughter and her dead body was recovered
from nearby canal.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the
petitioner is innocent and committed no offence. Petitioner is the



husband of the deceased and he has been falsely implicated in this case. There is no prior complain/information regarding torture and harassment made by the petitioner. No one is the eye witness of the alleged occurrence. In fact, deceased was of unsound mind and she used to go outside without any information and she herself committed suicide by jumping inside the canal. No any antemortem injury was found over available bones for examination. Petitioner is languishing in judicial custody since 27.03.2022.

Learned APP appearing for the state has opposed the prayer of regular bail and submitted that petitioner is husband of the deceased and he must be responsible to keep his wife with proper behavior and dignity. During investigation, several witnesses have supported the prosecution story.

Having heard the learned counsel for the parties and considering the fact and circumstances of the case, this court is not inclined to enlarge the petitioner on bail and, as such, his prayer for bail stands rejected.

The trial Court is directed to expedite the trial and conclude the same as soon as possible.

(Sunil Kumar Panwar, J)

lata/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

