

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.63461 of 2022

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-98 Year-2021 Thana- TARIYANI CHAPRA PS District-
Sheohar

=====

RAM SWARTH RAI Son of Late Hardeo Rai R/v- Ganga Dharampur, P.S.-
Tariyani Chhapara, District- Sheohar

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

THE STATE OF BIHAR

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Mahendra Thakur
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Uday Chand Prasad

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA
ORAL ORDER

3 24-06-2023 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
A.P.P. for the State, Mr. Chandra Bhushan Prasad along with
learned counsel for the informant.

The petitioner apprehends his arrest in a case
registered for the offences punishable under Sections 341, 323,
324, 448, 307, 379, 504, 506 and 34 of the Indian Penal Code.

The informant alleges that the petitioner assaulted his
son by *farsa* causing injury on head and Brajesh assaulted his
son by rod causing injury on his shoulder.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the
petitioner is a person with clean antecedent.

Learned counsel next submits that the petitioner has
been falsely implicated in the present case, it is next submitted



that there is only one injury on the body of the injured.

Learned A.P.P. for the State and the learned counsel for the informant opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner and submits that there is direct allegation against this petitioner of assaulting the son of the informant by *farsa* causing injury on head and from perusal of the injury report, it would manifest that the injury is grievous.

Considering the submissions made by the learned A.P.P. for the State and learned counsel for the informant, the Court is not inclined to extend the privilege of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

Accordingly, the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner stands rejected.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

HarshPandey/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

