

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Miscellaneous Jurisdiction Case No.4316 of 2016

In
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.8200 of 2016

=====

Vijay Kumar Singh, S/o Surendra Kumar Singh, Resident of Rajiv Nagar,
Road No. 4, P.O. - Keshari Nagar, P.S.- Rajiv Nagar, Distt.- Patna

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through Shri Anand Kishor, Divisional Commissioner Patna Division, Patna
2. Shri Sanjay Kumar Agarwal, District Magistrate (Licensing Authority of Arms and Ammunition) Patna.
3. Shri Manu Maharaj, Senior Superintendent of Police, Patna.
4. Md. Sidli Irani, Deputy Superintendent of Police, Kotwali, Patna.
5. Shri Mritunjay Kumar, Officer-in-charge, Rajiv Nagar Police Station, Patna.

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Sanjeev Kumar, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Suman Kumar Jha, AC to AAG-3

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE HARISH KUMAR
ORAL JUDGMENT

Date : 24-08-2023

Heard Mr. Sanjeev Kumar, learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner and Mr. Suman Kumar Jha, learned AC to AAG-3.

2. A show-cause has been filed on behalf of the opposite party no. 2 and with reference to the statements made therein, submission has been made that pursuant to the direction of this Court, the case of the petitioner was duly considered by the District Magistrate and a fresh reasoned and speaking order has been passed negating the claim of the petitioner, vide order



dated 16.09.2019.

3. On the other hand, it is submitted on behalf of the petitioner that the grounds on the basis of which the claim of the petitioner was earlier rejected, the same has taken afresh in the aforementioned order dated 16.09.2019 and, as such, the order of the Hon’ble Court has not been complied in its letter and spirit and the concerned opposite parties are under contempt.

4. Considering the order of this Court dated 27.06.2016 whereby, this Court has remitted the matter back to the licensing authority for fresh consideration in accordance with law, this Court does not find any substance in the submission of the petitioner and accordingly, the present contempt application stands disposed of, however, the petitioner is at liberty, either to assail the order, if he feels aggrieved or to file a fresh application for issuance of new arms license.

(Harish Kumar, J)

shivank/-

AFR/NAFR	NAFR
CAV DATE	NA
Uploading Date	25.08.2023.
Transmission Date	NA

