

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.72658 of 2022

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-315 Year-2022 Thana- RAJGIR District- Nalanda

Sanjit Singh, Son Of Late Anandi Singh R/O Village- Laranpur, P.O.-
Islampur, P.S.- Islampur, District- Nalanda At Bihar Sharif

... .. Petitioner/S

Versus

The State Of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Sanjay Kumar Pandey

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Chandra Sen Prasad Singh

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA
ORAL ORDER

4 11-07-2023 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
APP for the State.

The petitioner seeks bail in anticipation of his arrest in
a case registered for the offences punishable under Sections 420,
467, 468, 471, 472, 120(B) and 34 of the Indian Penal Code.

The learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the
petitioner is a person with clean antecedent and the informant
alleges that he is owner of the land in question. Further, the
original owner of the land was Late Khiran Singh and his
grandson namely, Matuk Singh and his sons sold the land in
question to the father of the informant Santosh Sen by a
registered sale deed on 25.01.1965. It is further alleged that the
caretaker of the land informed him that Dilip Kumar Singh got a
sale deed executed of the land on 11.11.2020 through a person,



who impersonated Shaktivrato Sen Gupta as son of Late Santosh Sen. Further, the forgery was committed in connivance with the petitioner and Manoj Kumar Singh. It is next alleged that Raj Kumar Gupta and Raju Kumar are witnesses on the sale deed.

The learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner has been falsely implicated in the present case. It is next submitted that petitioner purchased the land in question on the assurance of Dilip Kumar Singh and later, he came to know that the land does not belong to the seller. It is next submitted that petitioner even lost some amount as he had already paid an amount of Rs.12,25,000/- and thereafter, when he came to know that he was cheated an amount of Rs.7,25,000/- was returned to him. It is further submitted that the main allegation hinges around Dilip Kumar Singh.

Learned A.P.P. opposes the bail application.

Considering the submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioner, the petitioner, above-named, in the event of his arrest or surrender before the learned Court below within a period of six weeks, is directed to be released on bail on his furnishing bail-bonds in the sum of Rs. 10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the



satisfaction of the learned Chief Judicial Magistrate, Nalanda at Bihar Sharif in connection with Rajgir P. S. Case No.315 of 2022, subject to the conditions laid down under Section 438(2) of the Cr.P.C.

The application stands allowed.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

vikash/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

