

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No 64182 of 2022

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-4 Year-2022 Thana- MAHILA P.S. District- Purnia

RAUSHAN KUMAR @ ROSHAN KUMAR Son of Late Rajendra Mehta
Resident of Mohalla- Gokulpur, P.S.- Krityanand Nagar, District- Purnia
... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr Manoj Kumar Jha, Advocate
For the S t a t e : Mr Upendra Kumar, APP
For Opposite Party No 2 : Mr Bijendra Kumar Singh, Advocate

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR JUSTICE PRABHAT KUMAR SINGH

ORAL ORDER

3 04-09-2023 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner, State and
Opposite Party No 2.

2 The petitioner, who is husband of Opposite Party No 2, apprehends arrest in Mahila PS Case No 4 of 2022 registered for the offence punishable under Sections 341, 323, 498A, 504/34 of Indian Penal Code and Sections 3 & 4 of Dowry Prohibition Act.

3 Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner offers and undertakes that he is ready to give maintenance amount of Rs 3000/- per month, starting from this month, to Opposite Party No 2.

4 In view of the undertaking of learned counsel for the petitioner that the petitioner is ready to give maintenance amount of Rs 3000/- per month, in the event of arrest/surrender



within a period of six weeks from today, the petitioner above named be enlarged on bail on his furnishing bonds of Rs 10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of Sub Divisional Judicial Magistrate, Purnia in Mahila PS Case No 4 of 2022, corresponding to GR No 242 of 2022 subject to the conditions as laid down in Section 438 (2) of the Code of Criminal Procedure as well as on the following conditions:

(i) Opposite Party No 2 would file an affidavit before the Court below and bring on record her saving bank account number for its communication to the petitioner.

(ii) Petitioner would deposit the aforesaid maintenance amount per month in the saving bank account of Opposite Party No 2.

(iii) In case the petitioner fails to deposit the maintenance amount for two consecutive months, the Court below would be at liberty to cancel the bail bonds.

(iv) The aforementioned payment will be subject to any order passed in matrimonial, maintenance or connected proceedings. The present order, in no way, will preclude the parties to resolve the issue otherwise.

(Prabhat Kumar Singh, J)

M.E.H./-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

