

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.65145 of 2023**

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-977 Year-2021 Thana- NAWADAH COMPLAINT CASE
District- Nawada

Arvind Kumar @ Pramod Kumar S/O Sri Arjun Pandit Village- Mafi, Ps-
Warisaliganj, Dist- Nawada.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State Of Bihar
2. Kiran Devi Wife Of Arvind Kumar @ Pramod Kumar Village- Mafi, Ps-
Warisaliganj, Dist- Nawada. At Present Address Kiran Devi, D/O
Maheshwari Pandit R/O Pachamba, Ps- Nawada (Kadirganj Op), Dist-
Nawada.

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Birendra Kumar

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Ramesh Chandra

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SUNIL KUMAR PANWAR
ORAL ORDER

2 12-10-2023 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner, informant
and learned APP for the State.

2. The petitioner has prayed for regular bail in a case
instituted for the offence under Sections 498A, 323, 494 of the
Indian Penal Code.

3. As per prosecution case, the complainant was
married with the petitioner in the year 1994 and she went to her
in-law's house, where she lived peacefully. Thereafter, it is
alleged that she was given physical tortured and the petitioner
asked her to get Rs. 5 lacs from her father otherwise, she will



be killed. It is further alleged that the accused persons ousted her from their house and the petitioner solemnized another marriage with co-accused Urmila Devi.

4. It is submitted by learned counsel for the petitioner that petitioner has been falsely implicated in this case. He has committed no offence. Petitioner is the husband of the complainant and marriage was solemnized in the year 1994. Petitioner was blessed with one child out of the wedlock of the complainant. Petitioner was neither tortured the complainant, nor demanded dowry from her. Petitioner is ready to keep his wife (complainant) with full dignity and honour. Petitioner has got no criminal antecedent as stated in para-3 of the bail petition. He is languishing in judicial custody since 03.05.2023.

5. The application for bail is opposed by learned APP for the State and learned counsel for the informant.

6. Having heard learned counsel for the parties and considering the facts and circumstances of the case as well as period of custody, this court is inclined to enlarge the petitioner on bail. The above named petitioner is directed to be enlarged on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Rs. ten thousand only) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned Judicial Magistrate-1st Class, Nawada



in connection with Nawada Complaint Case P.S. Case No. 977
of 2021.

(Sunil Kumar Panwar, J)

Arish/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

