

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.2827 of 2018

=====

Vandana Devi Wife of Uttam Kumar Pandey, resident of Village- Parariya,
P.O.- Parariya, P.S.- Magadh University, Bodh Gaya, District- Gaya.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State Of Bihar
2. The Director, Secondary Education-cum- Saksharta Mission, Education Department, Govt. of Bihar, Pat
3. The District Magistrate, Gaya.
4. The District Programme Officer, Secondary Education and Saksharta, District Public Education Commit
5. The Sub- Divisional Officer, Bodh Gaya, Gaya.
6. The Circle Officer, Bodh Gaya Circle, Gaya.
7. The Principal, Middle School, Village- Parariya, P.S.- Magadh University, District- Gaya.
8. Renu Kumari, Wife of Salendra Kumar, resident of Village- Tirakha, P.O- Parariya, P.S.- Magadh University, District- Gaya.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	None.
For the Respondent/s	:	Mr.Jitendra Kr. Roy No.1- SC-13
For the respondent no.8	:	Mr. Durgesh Nandan, Advocate

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV ROY
ORAL ORDER

4 18-09-2023 No one appears for the petitioner although Mr.

Durgesh Nandan representing the respondent no.8 is present.

The petitioner has prayed for the following relief:

- (i) for quashing the letter no. 372 dated
15.9.2016 (Annexure-9) issued under the
signature of Respondent no. 4 by which the
respondent no.8 has been allowed to work as
Panchayat Prerak and cancelled the selection



of the petitioner which is without jurisdiction and without any show cause as also without following the principle of Natural Justice which is wholly illegal and consequential allow the petitioner to work on the post of Panchayat Prerak with consequential benefits;

(ii) for a direction to the respondent authority to pay the remuneration of the post of Panchayat Prerak to the petitioner for the period on which work has been done;

(iii) for a direction to pay the interest on knowingly delayed payment'

(iv) for any other relief/reliefs as petitioner found entitled in the facts and circumstances of the present case.

In view of the non-appearance of the petitioner, the writ petition is dismissed for non-prosecution.

(Rajiv Roy, J)

Ravi/-

U			
---	--	--	--

