

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.67287 of 2022

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-200 Year-2021 Thana- RAGHUNATHPUR District- Siwan

Anil Yadav Son Of Rasbihari Yadav R/O Village- Rajpur, P.S.- Raghunathpur,
District- Siwan

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State Of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

with

CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No. 68076 of 2022

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-200 Year-2021 Thana- RAGHUNATHPUR District- Siwan

NAYAN YADAV S/o Late Suresh Yadav R/v- Rajpur, P.S.- Raghunathpur,
District- Siwan

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

(In CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No. 67287 of 2022)

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Gajendra Kumar Singh

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Pradeep Narain Kumar

(In CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No. 68076 of 2022)

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Kumari Anupam

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Ram Anurag Singh

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE JITENDRA KUMAR
ORAL ORDER

2 03-02-2023 Heard Ld. counsel for the petitioners and Ld. APP

for the State.

The petitioners seek bail in connection with

Raghunathpur P.S. Case No. 200 of 2021, registered for the

offences punishable under Section 30(a) of the Bihar

Prohibition and Excise Act, 2016.



As per allegation total 80 liters country-made liquor has been recovered from the bank of Saryug river near village Rajpur.

Ld. counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners are innocent and have falsely been implicated in this case. He further submits that nothing has been recovered from the conscious possession of the petitioners. He also submits that search and seizure has not been made as per the procedure as prescribed under Section 100 Cr. P.C.

He further submits that the petitioners have been languishing in jail since 31.05.2022 and 29.06.2022, respectively.

It has also been stated in paragraph no. 3 of the bail petition that the petitioner, namely, Anil Yadav has been made accused in three other cases and the petitioner, namely, Nayan Yadav has been made accused in seven other cases.

It is also stated in paragraph no. 2 of the bail petition that the petitioners have not moved this Court



earlier either for anticipatory bail or regular one.

However, Ld. APP for the State vehemently opposes the prayer of the petitioners for bail.

Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances, **this application is allowed**, directing the petitioners, above-named, to be enlarged on bail on their furnishing bail bonds in the sum of Rs. 10,000 /- (Ten Thousand) each with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of Ld. Exclusive Special Excise Court No. 2, Siwan in connection with Raghunathpur P.S. Case No. 200 of 2021 on the following conditions:

(i) The petitioners will make themselves available for interrogation by a police officer/court as and when required.

(ii) The petitioners will undertake that investigation/trial will not hamper on account of their absence or non-cooperation. They must be available to the police or the court whenever their presence is required.

(iii) The petitioners shall not, directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat or promise to any person



acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade him from disclosing such facts to the court or to any police officer.

(iv) In case, it is brought to the notice of the court below that the petitioners have criminal antecedents other than the disclosed one, Ld. court below shall cancel the bail bonds of the petitioners after hearing them and getting satisfied that the petitioners have concealed their criminal antecedents despite their knowledge of the same.

(v) In case, it is brought to the notice of the court below that statement regarding previous bail petition is wrong, Ld. court below shall cancel the bail bonds of the petitioners.

(vi) In case, the petitioners repeat offence of similar nature after enlargement on bail, their bail-bond will be cancelled by the court below.

Ld. counsel for the petitioners is directed to remove all the defects, if any, pointed out by the office within a period of one month and the Registry is directed to issue the certified copy of this order only after removal of office



objections.

(Jitendra Kumar, J)

ramesh/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

