

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.67362 of 2022

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-2 Year-2022 Thana- SHEIKHOPUR SARAI District-
Sheikhpura

=====

Tiranju Mahto @ Nishant Kumar @ Tiranju Kumar Son of Bipin Prasad R/V-
Panchi, P.S- Sheikhopur Sarai, Dist- Sheikhpura.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar.

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Sourendra Pandey, Adv.

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Sanjay Kumar Tiwary, APP.

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE DR. ANSHUMAN
ORAL ORDER

2 27-02-2023 Let the defect(s), if any, be removed within two weeks
from today.

Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
A.P.P. for the State.

The petitioner seeks regular bail in connection with
Sheikhopur Sarai P.S. Case No. 02 of 2022, lodged under
Sections 406, 419, 420, 467, 468, 471, 120B/34 of the Indian
Penal Code.

As per prosecution case, there were 5 named persons
who were made accused in this case including the petitioner. It
has been alleged in the F.I.R. that all 5 accused persons in
connivance with each other use to commit fraud in the name of
lottery, providing loans and also providing the dealership of



different companies. With this allegation the F.I.R. has been lodged.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner is innocent and has committed no offence. He further submits that petitioner is an unemployed youth and use to facilitate them, by which, they can purchase things online. He further submits that petitioner is neither running lottery business nor having any dealership. Learned counsel for the petitioner further submits that there are six criminal cases pending against the petitioner and out of six cases, he is on bail in one case. He further submits that petitioner is in custody since 25.08.2022 and charge sheet has already been filed in this case.

Learned counsel for the State opposes the prayer for bail and submits that from the contents of F.I.R. itself, it transpires that petitioner was involved in dealing the business of committing forgery (thagi) with the help of internet and computers.

Upon specific query that whether charge has been framed or not, learned counsel submits that charge has not been framed.

In the present facts and circumstances of this case and the submissions made above, I am not inclined to grant bail to



the petitioner, therefore, his bail application stands rejected.

Liberty is hereby granted to the petitioner that he may
renew his prayer for bail after framing of charge.

(Dr. Anshuman, J.)

ritik/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

