

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.65980 of 2023

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-195 Year-2023 Thana- KALYANPUR District- Samastipur

VIKASH KUMAR Son of Sharavan Kumar Singh @ Sharavan Kumar R/o
vill - Madhurapur Tara, P.S. - Kalyanpur, Distt. - Samastipur

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Ranjan Kumar, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Shantanu Kumar, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH KUMAR VERMA
ORAL ORDER

2 01-11-2023 Heard Mr. Ranjan Kumar, learned counsel for the

petitioner and Mr. Shantanu Kumar, learned Additional Public

Prosecutor for the State.

2. The petitioner is apprehending his arrest in
connection with Kalyanpur P.S. Case No. 195 of 2023 F.I.R.
dated 01.07.2023 registered for the offences punishable under
Section 30(a) of Bihar Prohibition and Excise Amendment Act,
2022.

3. Recovery is of 806.85 litres of foreign liquor.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the
petitioner has clean antecedent and has falsely been implicated
in the present case on the basis of disclosure made by local
villagers. He further submits that from perusal of the F.I.R. it
appears that recovery has been made from the house of co-



accused person namely Pankaj Kumar Singh and the petitioner has no concern at all with the alleged recovery of illicit liquor or the co-accused person namely Pankaj Kumar Singh and due to dirty village politics the name of the petitioner has been implicated in the present case. He further submits that co-accused person namely Mukesh Kumar has been granted the privilege of anticipatory bail by a Coordinate Bench vide order dated 17.10.2023 passed in Cr. Misc. No. 64592 of 2023. There is non-compliance with mandatory procedure prescribed for recovery under Section 100 of Cr. P.C. No case, whatsoever, would be made out against the petitioner under the Bihar Prohibition and Excise Act.

5. The learned Additional Public Prosecutor has vehemently opposed the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner referring the provision contained in Section 76(2) of the Bihar Prohibition and Excise Act and submitted that the pre-arrest bail would not be maintainable.

6. This court is aware of the decision of the Full Bench in the case of Ram Vinay Yadav vs. State of Bihar reported in 2019(2) P.L.J.R. 1089. Having regard to the law laid down in the aforesaid judgment and the submission advanced on behalf of the parties, this Court, for the limited purpose of grant



of anticipatory bail, is inclined to accept the submission of counsel for the petitioner.

7. Considering the aforesaid facts, nothing has been recovered from conscious possession of the petitioner and the co-accused person has been granted the privilege of anticipatory bail by a Coordinate Bench of this Court and petitioner having clean antecedent, let the petitioner, above named, in the event of his arrest or surrender before the court below within a period of thirty days from the date of receipt of the order. be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned Special Judge Excise-I, Samastipur in connection with Kalyanpur PS. Case No. 195 of 2023, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 438(2) of the Code of Criminal Procedure and with other following conditions:-

1. Petitioner shall co-operate in the trial and shall be properly represented on each and every date fixed by the court and shall remain physically present as directed by the court and on his absence on two consecutive dates without sufficient reason, his bail bond shall be cancelled by the Court below.

2. If the petitioner tampers with the evidence or the witnesses, in that case, the prosecution will be at liberty to move



for cancellation of bail.

3. And further condition that the court below shall verify the criminal antecedent of the petitioner and in case at any stage it is found that the petitioner has concealed his criminal antecedent, the court below shall take step for cancellation of bail bond of the petitioner. However, the acceptance of bail bonds in terms of the above-mentioned order shall not be delayed for purpose of or in the name of verification.

(Rajesh Kumar Verma, J)

Ibrar//-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

