

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.63105 of 2022

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-618 Year-2022 Thana- SARAIYA District- Muzaffarpur

Munna Kumar S/O Shivji Das R/V- Basochak @ Basuchak, P.S.- Saraiya,
District- Muzaffarpur

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State Of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Amit Kumar Jha

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Arvind Kumar Pandey(APP)

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE JITENDRA KUMAR
ORAL ORDER

2 06-01-2023 Heard Ld. counsel for the petitioner and learned
APP for the State.

The petitioner seeks bail in connection with Saraiya
P.S. Case No. 618 of 2022, registered for the offences
punishable under Sections 30(a), 41 of the Bihar Prohibition
and Excise Act and Sections 272, 273 and 34 of Indian
Penal Code.

As per allegation about 106.5 liters of Indian made
foreign liquor was recovered from a sub-mersible chamber.

Ld. counsel for the petitioner submits that the
petitioner is innocent and has falsely been implicated in this
case. He further submits that nothing has been recovered



from the conscious possession of the petitioner.

He further submits that the petitioner has been languishing in jail since 25.08.2022.

It has also been stated in paragraph no. 3 of the bail petition that the petitioner has one criminal antecedents.

It is also stated in paragraph no. 2 of the bail petition that the petitioner has not moved this Court earlier either for anticipatory bail or regular one.

However, the Ld. APP for the State vehemently opposes the prayer of the petitioner for bail.

Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances, this application is allowed, directing the petitioner, above-named, to be enlarged on bail on his furnishing bail bonds in the sum of Rs. 10,000 /- (Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the Ld. Special Judge, Excise Act Court No. II, Muzaffarpur, in connection with Saraiya P.S. Case No. 618 of 2022, on the following conditions:

(i) The petitioner will make himself available for interrogation by a police officer/court as and when required.



(ii) The petitioner will undertake that investigation/trial will not hamper on account of his absence or non-cooperation. He must be available to the police or the court whenever his presence is required.

(iii) The petitioner shall not, directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade him from disclosing such facts to the court or to any police officer.

(iv) In case, it is brought to the notice of the court below that the petitioner has any criminal antecedent, the learned court below shall cancel the bail bond of the petitioner after hearing him and getting satisfied that the petitioner has concealed his criminal antecedent despite his knowledge of the same.

(v) In case, it is brought to the notice of the court below that statement regarding previous bail petition is wrong, the learned court below shall cancel the bail bond of the petitioner.

Ld. counsel for the petitioner is directed to remove



all the defects, if any, pointed out by the office within a period of one month and the Registry is directed to issue the certified copy of this order only after removal of office objections.

(Jitendra Kumar, J)

ramesh/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

