

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.62399 of 2022**

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-1072 Year-2017 Thana- NAWADAH COMPLAINT CASE
District- Nawada

=====

PINTU RAVIDAS S/o Yugal Ravidas @ Jugal Ravidas R/v- Chhanaun, P.S.-
Rupo, District- Nawada

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
3. SARITA KUMARI W/o Pintu Ravidas D/o Sanjay Ravidas R/o Village-
Devaspura, Post- Medi, P.S.- Katrisarai, District- Nalanda. At present R/v-
Chhanaun, P.S.- Rupo, District- Nawada

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Pramod Kumar Verma, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Madan Kumar, A.P.P.

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA
ORAL ORDER

5 11-07-2023 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
A.P.P. for the State.

The petitioner apprehends his arrest in a case registered for the offence punishable under Section 498A of the IPC and Section 4 of the Dowry Prohibition Act.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is a person with clean antecedent. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that in compliance of the order dated 22.05.2023, notices were filed for being served upon the O.P. No. 2. It is next submitted that Office Report records that the notices returned unserved as the O.P. No. 2 was not found on the address.



The learned counsel next submits that petitioner being husband has been falsely implicated in the present case, it is next submitted that from perusal of the allegation as alleged in the FIR it would manifest that the same is general and omnibus in nature, it is further submitted that a specific pleading has been made at paragraph 11 that the informant had performed her second marriage with a person in Uttar Pradesh. It is next submitted that that perhaps explains why the notices returned unserved.

Learned A.P.P. for the State opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner.

Considering the submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioner, the petitioner above-named, in the event of his arrest or surrender before the learned trial court within a period of six weeks from today, be released on anticipatory bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs. 10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned trial court where the case is pending/successor court in connection with Complaint Case No. 1072 of 2017 subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 438 (2) of the Cr.P.C.

However, the informant would be at liberty to file an



application seeking cancellation of the anticipatory bail order of the petitioner in the event, if she has not married by filing an application before this Court.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

GauravSinha/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

