

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.65288 of 2022

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-214 Year-2022 Thana- KARAHGAR District- Rohtas

Rinku Devi, Wife of Vikash Kumar, Resident of village - Akorhi (Barki), P.S.-
Karghar (Barahari), District - Rohtas at Sasaram.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Jai Prakash Singh, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Umeshanand Pandit, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SHAILENDRA SINGH
ORAL ORDER

4 26-04-2023 1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and the
learned APP for the State.

2. Petitioner seeks regular bail in connection with
Karghar (Barahari O.P.) P.S. Case No. 214 of 2022 dated
15.06.2022 registered for the offences punishable under
Sections 302, 201, 120(B)/34 of the Indian Penal Code.

3. As per prosecution, an unidentified dead body was
found floating in a well of the village of petitioner which was
later identified as the victim of this case.

4. The main submissions advanced by the learned
counsel for the petitioner are that the petitioner is a woman and
has been languishing in jail since 08.08.2022 and against her
there is no direct evidence and only suspicion was raised against
her in connection with the murder of the deceased, who
happened to be the sister of the husband of the petitioner and



there is no eye-witness of the alleged occurrence and petitioner is not named in the FIR.

5. Learned APP appearing for the State has opposed the bail prayer.

6. Heard both the side and perused the FIR and case diary of this case. Though, in the present matter there is no direct evidence against the petitioner but as per the statement of father-in-law of this petitioner recorded by him under Section 164 of Cr.P.C. there was tense relation between the petitioner and the deceased on account of some ornaments and the victim's dead body was found in a well and as per prosecution she was murdered by strangulation. Having considered the seriousness of the allegation appearing against the petitioner, in the opinion of this court, the petitioner does not deserve to the privilege of bail. Accordingly, her bail prayer stands rejected.

7. Petitioner may renew her bail prayer after one year, if any significant progress is not made in her trial by the trial court in the said period.

(Shailendra Singh, J)

Rajiv/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

