

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA**  
**CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.72367 of 2022**

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-45 Year-2022 Thana- TATARPUR District- Bhagalpur

ASHISH KUMAR GUPTA Son of Ram Kumar Gupta R/o- Ambe Road,  
Hussainabad, P.S.- Babarganj, District- Bhagalpur

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

**Appearance :**

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Madhav Krishna

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Binod Kumar

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE NAWNEET KUMAR  
PANDEY**

ORAL ORDER

2      20-03-2023                      The learned counsel for the petitioner is directed to  
  
remove all the defects pointed out by the office within one  
  
month.

Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner as well as  
the learned Additional Public Prosecutor for the State.

The petitioner is seeking regular bail in connection  
with the Tatarpur P.S. Case No. 45 of 2022 for the offences  
under Section 326,307,302,34 of the I.P.C. and Section 3/4/5 of  
Explosive Substance Act 1908.

The informant, who is ASI on hearing sound of bomb  
explosion reached to the place of occurrence, he saw that the  
debris were spread at the place of occurrence and some persons  
were intercepted in that debris. The ambulance was called and



the rescued persons were sent to the hospital. In huge quantity the explosive substances were recovered from the place of occurrence. 14 persons died due to that explosion. The names of the diseased persons have been mentioned in the FIR.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that there is nothing against the petitioner except confessional statement of co-accused in which he has stated that the petitioner was the supplier of explosive substance.

As per allegation, in the massive explosion 14 persons have lost their lives and 9 persons became injured. The petitioner is alleged to be supplier of those explosive substances. The investigation is still continuing.

Considering the above-mentioned facts and circumstances, I am not inclined to grant bail. Accordingly, it is rejected.

Office shall ensure that all defects are removed by the petitioner within the stipulated time mentioned hereinabove, failing which, the matter shall be brought to the notice of this Court.

**(Nawneet Kumar Pandey, J)**

SONALI/Sudha

|   |  |   |  |
|---|--|---|--|
| U |  | T |  |
|---|--|---|--|

