

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.463 of 2022

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-2 Year-2019 Thana- BARH District- Patna

=====

RAVI SINGH @ RAVI RANJAN KUMAR Son of Shatish Singh Resident of
Village- Bichali Malahi, P.S. Barh, District- Patna.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Tej Narayan Singh

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Ashok Kumar Singh

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE JITENDRA KUMAR
ORAL ORDER

4 02-01-2023 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and

learned APP for the State.

The petitioner seeks bail in connection with Barh
P.S. Case No. 02 of 2019, registered for the offences
punishable under Sections 341, 307, 504, 506, 379 and 34
of the Indian Penal Code and Section 27 of the Arms Act.

The prosecution case as emerging from the FIR is
that on 01.01.2019 when the informant was returning to his



home, the petitioner along with his associates armed with *lathi* and pistol surrounded him and started firing due to which he fell down from motorcycle but somehow he managed to flee away and thereafter the accused persons took away the motorcycle of the informant.

Ld. counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is innocent and has falsely been implicated in this case. He further submits that there is no direct or specific allegation against the petitioner and no incriminating articles has been recovered from the conscious possession of the petitioner. He also submits that other co-accused person, namely, Tinku Singh has already been enlarged on bail by a co-ordinate Bench of this Court vide order dated 20.08.2019 passed in Cr. Misc. No. 51125 of 2019. He further submits that investigation in this case is complete and charge-sheet has already been submitted. He also submits that the petitioner will co-operate in the trial and the trial will not get hampered in case he is enlarged on bail.

He further submits that the petitioner has been languishing in jail since 12.08.2021.



It has also been stated in paragraph no. 3 of the bail petition that the petitioner has earlier been made accused in nine other cases.

It is also stated in paragraph no. 2 of the bail petition that the petitioner has never moved before this Court for grant of anticipatory bail or regular bail.

However, the learned APP for the State vehemently opposes the prayer of the petitioner for bail.

Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances, the petitioner, above-named, is directed to be released on bail on his furnishing bail bonds in the sum of Rs. 10,000 /- (Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the Ld. A.C.J.M., Barh, Patna in connection with Barh P.S. Case No. 02 of 2019 on the following conditions:

(i) The petitioner will make himself available for interrogation by a police officer/court as and when required.

(ii) The petitioner will undertake that investigation/trial will not hamper on account of his absence or non-cooperation. He must be available to the police or the



court whenever his presence is required.

(iii) The petitioner shall not, directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade him from disclosing such facts to the court or to any police officer.

(iv) In case, it is brought to the notice of the court below that the petitioner has criminal antecedent other than the disclosed one, the learned court below shall cancel the bail bond of the petitioner after hearing him and getting satisfied that the petitioner has concealed his criminal antecedent despite his knowledge of the same.

(v) In case, it is brought to the notice of the court below that statement regarding previous bail petition is wrong, the learned court below shall cancel the bail bond of the petitioner.

The application stands allowed accordingly.

The learned counsel for the petitioner is directed to remove all the defects, if any, pointed out by the office within a period of one month and the Registry is directed to



issue the certified copy of this order only after removal of
office objections.

(Jitendra Kumar, J)

ashishkr/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

