

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.16873 of 2022

=====

Rameshwar Prasad Singh @ Rameshwar Singh Son of Late Siyaram Singh
Resident of Village- Rupibandh, P.S.- Kochas, District- Rohtas at Sasaram.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Principal Secretary, Bihar Panchayati Raj Department, Govt. of Bihar, Patna.
2. The Principal Secretary, Bihar Panchayati Raj Department, Govt. of Bihar, Patna.
3. The Chairman, Zila Parishad, Rohtas at Sasaram.
4. The Secretary-cum-Executive Officer, Zila Parishad, Sasaram, Rohtas.
5. The Circle Officer, Kochas, Rohtas.
6. The S.H.O., Kochas, Rohtas.
7. Vijay Kumar Singh, Son of Late Chhedi Singh Resident of Village- Rupibandh, P.S.- Kochas, District- Rohtas at Sasaram.
8. Rajiv Kumar Singh @ Pintu Singh, Son of Late Chhedi Singh Resident of Village- Rupibandh, P.S.- Kochas, District- Rohtas at Sasaram.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr.Babu Nandan Prasad
For the Respondent/s	:	Mr. Satya Vrat, AC to GP-10
For the Zila Parishad	:	Mr. Dr. Anand Kumar, Advocate

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV ROY
ORAL ORDER

2 09-08-2023 Heard the parties.

The present petition has been preferred for the
following relief:

- (i) to issue of writ/writs, order/orders,
direction/directions including in the nature of
mandamus for direction to the Respondents
authorities to make an enquiry and if the Shop
no. 13, Plot no. 1884, Khata no. 755 and its



Area-15'X12 and his boundary:-

East – 1.B Compound

West- P.W.D. Road

North- Shop no.14

South-Shop no.12 is found to be not of the Respondent no.7 and 8 then it may be vacated by the authorities, whereas the S.H.O., Kochas, Rohtas has already been broken the lock of the said shop and illegally hand over to the Respondent no.7 and 8 without any valid documents regarding the said shop no.13 as well as without considering the facts and circumstances of the case of the petitioner in proper perspectives;

(ii) for, grant any other relief or reliefs under which the petitioner found entitled to the facts and circumstances of the case.

The respondent no.4, the Executive Officer, Zila Parishad, Rohtas at Sasaram has to look into the matter.

The petitioner to file fresh representation before the respondent no.4 within a period of four weeks.

If such representation is filed, he is duty bound to take



the same to its logical conclusion within the period of three months thereafter.

The writ petition stands disposed of.

(Rajiv Roy, J)

Ravi/-

U			
---	--	--	--

