

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.65434 of 2023

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-48 Year-2022 Thana- JURAWANPUR District- Vaishali

1. Laljeet Ray Son Of Dhanpat Ray Resident Of Village- Lagma, Ps- Ghataho Op (SARAIKARANJAN), Distt- Samastipur
2. Manjay Ray @ Manjay Lal Ray Son Of Dhanpat Ray Resident Of Village- Lagma, Ps- Ghataho Op (Sarairanjan), Distt- Samastipur

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Subhash Kumar, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Atul Chandra, A.P.P

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE CHANDRA PRAKASH SINGH

ORAL ORDER

2 08-11-2023 Learned counsel for the petitioners is permitted to remove the defect(s), as pointed out by the office, if any, within a period of three weeks from today.

2. Heard learned counsel for the petitioners and learned Additional Public Prosecutor for the State.

3. The petitioners are apprehending their arrest in connection with Jurawanpur P.S. Case No. 48 of 2022 dated 09.04.2022 registered for the offences punishable under Sections 341, 323, 379, 504 and 506 read with 34 of the Indian Penal Code.

4. As per the prosecution case, on 06.09.2022 in the night at 1:30 A.M. five persons came to the informant and



started searching her daughter. The accused persons told the daughter of the informant to withdraw the dowry case otherwise she would be killed. They also demanded Rs. 6 lacs as *rangdari* which was expended in the case. On protest by the informant, they became angry. Three persons were identified as the petitioners and the co-accused Dharmendra Yadav. Thereafter, the petitioners and the co-accused persons started abusing and assaulting the informant. When the informant's husband, daughter-in-law Sangita and Pratima Devi came to rescue, they were also assaulted and abused by the accused person. They also assaulted her husband with butt of the pistol on his leg causing injury and snatched gold ornaments and took Rs. 70,000/- from the box.

5. Learned counsel for the petitioners has submitted that the petitioners have falsely been implicated in this case. The petitioners have no criminal antecedent as stated in para 3 of the bail petition. Learned counsel further submitted that injury is simple in nature caused by hard and blunt substance. There is general and omnibus allegation against the petitioners.

6. Learned A.P.P. for the State has vehemently opposed the prayer of anticipatory bail of the petitioners.

7. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances



of the case, let the above named petitioners, in the event of their arrest/surrender within a period of six weeks from today, be enlarged on anticipatory bail on furnishing bail-bond of Rs. 20,000/- (Rupees Twenty thousand) each with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned Court concerned, Vaishali at Hajipur in connection with Jurawanpur P.S. Case No. 48 of 2022, subject to conditions as laid down under Section 438(2) of the Code of Criminal Procedure.

8. This application stands allowed.

(Chandra Prakash Singh, J)

atul/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

