

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Criminal Writ Jurisdiction Case No.18 of 2022

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-116 Year-2021 Thana- HISUWA District- Nawada

=====

HIRDEO SINGH @ HIRDAY SINGH S/o Late Malo Singh Resident of
Village - Sonsa, P.S. - Hisua, District - Nawada.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Principal Secretary, Home Department, Govt. of Bihar, Patna, Bihar. Bihar
2. The Director General of Police, Patna. Bihar
3. The Inspector General of Police, Patna. Bihar
4. The Deputy Inspector General of Police, Magadh Range, Gaya. Bihar
5. The District Magistrate, Nawada. Bihar
6. The Superintendent of Police, Nawada. Bihar
7. The Deputy Superintendent of Police, Nawada. Bihar
8. The S.H.O., P.S. - Hisua. Bihar
9. The Investigating officer, Hisua P.S. Case No. 116/2021 Bihar

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Sheo Kumar Prasad
For the Respondent/s : Mr.Md. Nadim Seraj

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KUMAR SINHA
ORAL ORDER

- | | | |
|---|------------|---|
| 4 | 28-08-2023 | <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Heard learned Counsel for the parties concerned.2. The contention of the petitioner is that on the basis of his fake signature, a First Information Report has been lodged by somebody/unknown person for an occurrence, which took place on 07.03.2021, which was registered as Hisua Police Station Case No. 116 of 2021, for the offences punishable under Sections 341/323/338/379/504/34 of the Indian Penal Code against four named |
|---|------------|---|



accused persons.

3. Learned Counsel for the petitioner submits that one of the accused persons in the said First Information Report, namely, Pramod Kumar, who is the chowkidar, was instrumental in lodging the First Information Report by forging the signature of the petitioner.
4. Learned Counsel for the State submits that the petitioner has submitted a representation before the Superintendent of Police, Nawada, in which he has narrated the occurrence and has disclosed the name of the same set of accused persons against whom, the First Information Report, in question, was lodged. The only improvement which the petitioner wants, by alleging his forged signature on the First Information Report, is the use of specific weapon in course of commission of crime by the accused persons, whose names are mentioned in the First Information Report, in question. He further submits that the petitioner has already filed a protest petition in this regard before the learned Chief Judicial Magistrate, Nawada.
5. Regards being had to the nature of dispute and the fact that the petitioner has already filed an application before



the Superintendent of Police, Nawada and a protest petition has also been filed before the learned Chief Judicial Magistrate, Nawada, I do not find any merit in this application.

6. This writ application is, accordingly, dismissed.

(Anil Kumar Sinha, J.)

Prabhakar Anand/-

U	✓	T	✓
---	---	---	---

