

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Miscellaneous Jurisdiction Case No.4106 of 2016

In
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.11552 of 2012

=====

Arvind Kumar Arun Son of Late Mahabir Prasad resident of Village Atarmchak, P.S. Ekangar Sarai, District Nalanda at present residing at Shiv Nagar, Jhalpo Road Jhumari Tilaiya P.O. and P.S. Jhumari Tilaiya District Kodarma (Jharkhand).

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State Of Bihar Represented Through Anjani Kumar Singh, Chief Secretary, Govt. Of Bihar, Patna
2. The State of Jharkhand represented through Raj Bala Verma, Chief Secretary, Govt. of Jharkhand, Ranchi
3. Sri Ganga Kumar, Managing Director, Bihar State Food and Civil Supplies Corporation Ltd. Patna.
4. Sri Sandeep Bakshi, District Manager, Jharkhand State Food and Civil Supplies Corporation Ltd., Dhanbad, Jharkhand.
5. Sri Ravi Rakesh, District Manager, Bihar State Food and Civil Supplies Corporation Ltd. Purnea.
6. Sri G.D. Singh, Assistant Commissioner, Regional Provident Fund Officer, Adampur Chowk, Bhagalpur (Bihar)
7. Sri R.M. Verma, Assistant Commissioner, Regional Provident Fund Officer, Jharkhand at Ranchi.
8. Sri Baleshwar Singh Managing Director, Jharkhand State Food and Civil Supplies Corporation, Ranchi.

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr.Binoy Kumar, Advocate
For the State	:	Mr. Bijoy Kumar Sinha, Advocate
For the EPF	:	Mr. Prashant Sinha, Advocate

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV ROY
ORAL ORDER

8 20-06-2023 In the present petition, the learned counsel for the EPF Mr. Prashant Sinha took this Court to the order dated 16.10.2019, according to which the coordinate bench had recorded that the only controversy that remains is the payment



of EPF dues.

He has further taken this Court to the show-cause of opposite party no.6 dated 03.12.2019 with specific reference to paragraph-7 to show that the total amount including the interest to the tune of Rs.1,45,879/- has been paid to the petitioner and thus nothing remains.

In view of the aforesaid averments put forward by the learned counsel for the EPF not disputed by the learned counsel for the petitioner, the MJC No.4106 of 2016 stands disposed of.

If the petitioner still has some claim, he has the liberty to prefer an appropriate representation before the appropriate authority.

(Rajiv Roy, J)

Prakash Narayan /-

U			
---	--	--	--

