

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.59173 of 2022

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-369 Year-2022 Thana- KRITYANAND NAGAR District-
Purnia

-
1. VAKIL YADAV, Son of Late Chhedi Yadav Resident of village- Manjhi Tola, Police Station- K. Nagar, District- Purnea
 2. Videshi Yadav Son of Late Chhedi Yadav Resident of village- Manjhi Tola, Police Station- K. Nagar, District- Purnea
 3. Lalu Yadav @ Lalu Kumar Yadav Son of Vakil Yadav Resident of village- Manjhi Tola, Police Station- K. Nagar, District- Purnea
 4. Chhotu Yadav @ Chhotelal Yadav @ Chhotua S/O Vakil yadav Resident of village- Manjhi Tola, Police Station- K. Nagar, District- Purnea

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Dr. Sanjay Kumar Singh, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Nawal Kishore Prasad, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE CHANDRA PRAKASH SINGH

ORAL ORDER

3 01-02-2023 Learned counsel for the petitioners is permitted to remove the defect(s), as pointed out by the office, if any, within a period of three weeks from today.

Heard learned counsel for the petitioners and learned Additional Public Prosecutor for the State.

The petitioners are apprehending their arrest in a case registered for the offences punishable under Sections 341, 323, 385, 427, 327, 379, 504 and 506 read with Section 34 of the Indian Penal Code.

As per the prosecution case, the petitioners demanded Rs. 2,00000/- as ransom from the informant on the point of pistol. On being objected by the informant, the petitioner Vakil Yadav



threatened him. The petitioners Lalu Yadav and Chhotu Yadav took away Rs. 4500 /-, golden chain and wrist watch from the informant.

Learned counsel for the petitioners has submitted that the petitioners are innocent and have been falsely implicated in this case due to land dispute. There is general and omnibus allegation against the petitioners. The petitioners have got clean antecedent as stated in para 3 of the bail petition.

Learned A.P.P. for the State has opposed the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioners.

Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case, let the above named petitioners, in the event of their arrest/ surrender within a period of six weeks from today, be enlarged on anticipatory bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs. 20,000/-(Twenty Thousand)each with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of Court concerned, Purnea in connection with K. Nagar P.S. Case No. 369 of 2022, subject to the condition as laid down under Section 438(2) of the Code of Criminal Procedure.

The application stands allowed.

(Chandra Prakash Singh, J)

Gautam/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

