

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA

Criminal Writ Jurisdiction Case No.1304 of 2017

Arising Out of PS. Case No.- Year-1111 Thana- District-

Munna Chaudhary S/o Late Mahendra Chaudhary, Resident of Mohalla-Rambag, P.S.- Mithanpur, Dist.- Muzaffarpur.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State Of Bihar Through Chief Secretary, Government Of Bihar, Patna
2. The Director General of Police, Bihar, Patna.
3. The Inspector General of Police, Tirhut Range, Muzaffarpur.
4. The Collector-cum-District Magistrate, Muzaffarpur.
5. The Superintendent of Police, Muzaffarpur.
6. The Sub-Divisional Officer, East Muzaffarpur, District- Muzaffarpur.
7. The Circle Officer-cum-Executive Magistrate, Mushahari, District- Muzaffarpur.
8. The Excise Superintendent, Muzaffarpur.
9. The Excise Inspector, Town Anchal, District- Muzaffarpur.

... .. Respondent/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Sunil Kumar Pandey, Advocate
For the Respondent/s : Mr.Vikash Kumar, SC-11

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SANDEEP KUMAR
ORAL ORDER

8 13-07-2023 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
APP for the State.

2. Learned Counsel for the State has submitted that vehicle in question is in running condition but the petitioner is not accepting his vehicle.

3. This Court cannot go indefinitely passing the order in favour of the petitioner. Once the said vehicle is in running condition then petitioner ought to have taken possession of the said vehicle from concerned police station.



4. This application is disposed of in light of the affidavit filed by learned counsel for the State. It is the petitioner who will get possession of the said vehicle from the police station.

(Sandeep Kumar, J)

Harsh/

U		T	
---	--	---	--

