

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.59164 of 2022

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-526 Year-2022 Thana- SUPAUL District- Supaul

ROHIT SINGH S/o Umashankar Singh R/o Village- Bairo, Tola- Brahmpur,
P.S.- Supaul, Distt- Supaul. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. K.P. Singh, Sr. Adv.
Mr. Bhaskar Shankar
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Murlidhar

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SUNIL KUMAR PANWAR
ORAL ORDER

4 24-02-2023 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
APP for the State.

The petitioner has prayed for bail in connection with
Supaul P.S. Case no. 526 of 2022 instituted for the offence
under Section 302, 447, 504/34 of the Indian Penal Code and
Section 27 of the Arms Act.

As per allegation in the FIR, petitioner along with
four named accused and 5-7 un-named accused persons came at
the door of Manoj Sharma and petitioner started indiscriminate
firing which hit the informant's mother in her neck as a result of
which she fell down unconsciously. She was taken to hospital
where doctor declared her brought dead.

It is submitted by learned counsel for the petitioner
that petitioner has been falsely implicated in this case due to
previous enmity. Allegation of firing is against all accused



persons including the petitioner. There is case and counter case in the background of land dispute. Petitioner is languishing in custody since 04.06.2022.

The application for bail is opposed by learned APP for the State and learned counsel for the informant and submitted that there is direct allegation of firing against the petitioner. Witness has supported in prosecution story in para 8 of the case diary. As per postmortem report, doctor opined cause of death due to bullet injury and excessive bleeding to cardio respiratory failure shock. Post mortem report also supports the prosecution story

Having heard the learned counsel for the parties and considering the fact that there is direct allegation of opening fire against the petitioner, this court is not inclined to enlarge the petitioner on bail and, as such, his prayer for bail stands rejected.

The trial court is directed to expedite and conclude the trial.

(Sunil Kumar Panwar, J)

sushma/-

U			
---	--	--	--

