

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.58616 of 2022

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-203 Year-2021 Thana- DARAUNDA District- Siwan

1. RAMESH PRASAD @ RAMESHWAR PRASAD Son of Late Ram Ayodhya Bhagat R/V- Govindapur, P.s- Daraunda, Dist- Siwan
2. Lilawati Devi Wife of Ramesh Prasad R/V- Govindapur, P.s- Daraunda, Dist- Siwan
3. Munni Devi @ Munni Prasad Daughter of Ramesh Prasad R/V- Govindapur, P.s- Daraunda, Dist- Siwan

... .. Petitioners.

Versus

The State of Bihar.

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Raghav Prasad

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Anil Kumar Singh No. 1

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ANJANI KUMAR SHARAN
ORAL ORDER

7 17-10-2023 Heard learned counsel for the petitioners and the learned A.P.P. for the State.

2. The petitioners apprehend their arrest in connection with Daraunda P.S. Case No.203/2021 for the offences punishable under Sections 304B/34 of the Indian Penal Code.

3. The petitioners in association of other co-accused is said to have killed the daughter of the informant by administering her poison.

4. The petitioners are quite innocent and have been falsely implicated in this case as they are in-laws of the deceased. The allegations levelled against the petitioners is general and omnibus in nature. It is further submitted that the husband of the deceased is daily wager in Gujrat and he was not in a position to keep his wife at Gujrat and due to this



reason she committed suicide by consuming poisonous tablet which was put in grains. It is further submitted that husband of the deceased has already been granted regular bail by the learned Court below. It is further submitted that petitioner no.1 is the father-in-law, petitioner no.2 is mother-in-law and petitioner no.3 is the sister-in-law and they have no criminal antecedent as mentioned in para-3 of this application.

5. *Per contra*, learned APP for the State vehemently opposing the bail petition submitted that the allegations levelled against the petitioners is serious in nature, hence they do not deserve anticipatory bail.

6. Considering the facts and circumstances of case, I am not inclined to enlarge the petitioners on bail. The prayer for bail of the petitioners is hereby rejected. However, if the petitioners surrender before the learned Court below within six weeks from today and seek regular bail the learned Court below would pass order on the same day in accordance with law without being prejudiced by this order considering the fact that husband of the deceased has already been granted regular bail by the learned Court below.

(Anjani Kumar Sharan, J)

Trivedi/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

