

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.58865 of 2022

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-203 Year-2022 Thana- MIRGANJ District- Gopalganj

PRADEEP RAI SON OF BASUDEO RAI @ BASUDEV RAY R/O
VILLAGE- BHAROPATTI, P.S.- MIRGANJ, DISTRICT- GOPALGANJ

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Rajesh Roy,Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Sanjay Kumar Tiwary,APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV ROY
ORAL ORDER

2 03-02-2023 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
APP for the State through video conferencing in view of the
COVID 19.

The petitioner apprehends his arrest in connection
with Mirganj P.S. Case No. 203 of 2022 for the offence
registered under Sections 341, 323, 324, 307, 354, 379, 504, 506
and 34 of the Indian Penal Code and Section 27 of Arms Act.

As per the prosecution story, the informant alleged
that her father-in-law had got a land some years back which was
being surrounded by pillars and in the process, altercation took
place between the accused persons which resulted into assault
on the informant's side. Allegation against one Bullet Ram is of
pulling her hair and further the lady Subhawati Devi and Romi
Devi outrage her modesty and later allegation against this



petitioner is that he inflicted ‘*farsa*’ blow on the head of Ravi Rai causing injuries while Ranjit Rai opened fire. Subsequently, the injured persons were taken to Sadar Hospital and later referred to Gorakhpur. Accordingly, the FIR was lodged.

Learned APP for the State pointed out that the ‘*farsa*’ injury given by the petitioner herein has been found to be grievous in nature.

Taking into account the kind of allegation that has come against the petitioner, certainly this is not a case fit for which the privilege of anticipatory bail can be extended, which is accordingly rejected.

(Rajiv Roy, J)

JagdishNeha/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

