

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.59283 of 2022

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-200 Year-2020 Thana- TARARI District- Bhojpur

ROHIT SINGH Son of Late Babu Ram Singh R/V- Tarari, P.s- Tarari, Dist-
Bhojpur

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Rajani Ranjan Pd. Singh, Advocate.

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Arun Kumar Pandey, APP.

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE CHANDRA PRAKASH
SINGH**

ORAL ORDER

4 07-02-2023 Learned counsel for the petitioner is permitted to
remove the defect(s), as pointed out by the office, if any, within
a period of three weeks from today.

Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
Additional Public Prosecutor for the State.

The petitioner is apprehending his arrest in connection
with Tarari P. S. Case No. 200 of 2020 registered for the
offences punishable under Sections 406, 420, 504 and 506 of the
Indian Penal Code.

As per the prosecution case, the informant was
working as daily wage worker in the house of the petitioner. In
the year 2015, the petitioner took the informant to Simdega,
Jharkhand on the pretext of getting him better job there and took



the informant's signature on a blank paper and also took his Voter I.D. Card. After sometime, the informant received a demand notice of Rs. 35,14,120/- from the office of Certificate Officer, Simdega bearing C.C. Case No. 10/16. When informant went to Simdega, Jharkhand to enquire about the said notice, he came to know that three licences of liquor shop was allotted to his name and tax is dues against him. It is further alleged that when the informant went to meet the petitioner for knowing such facts, the petitioner threatened him.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the petitioner has falsely been implicated in this case. No such occurrence as alleged has ever taken place. The alleged occurrence took place at Simdega, Jharkhand but the F.I.R. has been lodged in Bhojpur, Ara, which is beyond jurisdiction. Learned counsel further submits that no case is made out against the petitioner. The petitioner has got no criminal antecedent as stated in para 3 of the bail petition.

Learned A.P.P. for the State has vehemently opposed the prayer of anticipatory bail petition of the petitioner.

Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances, let the above named petitioner, in the event of his arrest/surrender within a period of six weeks from today, be enlarged on bail on



furnishing bail-bond of Rs. 20,000/- (Rupees twenty thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned Court concerned, Bhojpur, Ara in connection with Tarari P. S. Case No. 200 of 2020, subject to conditions as laid down under section 438(2) of the Code of Criminal Procedure.

The application stands allowed.

(Chandra Prakash Singh, J)

shakir/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

